F., J.

3752.aal

# THIRD CHECK

TO

### ANTINOMIANISM;

IN A LETTER TO THE AUTHOR OF

PIETAS OXONIENSIS:

By the Vindicator of the

Rev. Mr. WESLEY's MINUTES.

#### THE SECOND EDITION.

LONDON:

Printed by Robert Hawes, No. 34, in Lamb-Street, Spitalfields, 1775.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Reprove, rebuke, exhort, with all long-suffering and foriptural
doctrine: for the time will come when they will not endure
found doctrine."

2 Tim. iv, 2, 3.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Wherefore rebuke them fharply, that they may be found in the faith;

" but let brotherly love continue."

Tit. i, 13. Heb. xiii, 1.

INOMIANISM;

TORTER THE ST

TEMBLED. .03TUDELEA -BY

and the state of the sur.

to the party of the print of the string printer, belong the con-

and the state of t

a september of the

roke in the goandy are that the reference to the

lette for s

fed & ofth a pu Sir, Crif

Gile been anfw have So

for r will firab dred triur cont confi end

fpiri the I

#### A

## THIRD CHECK

water-rody boige bold or patitions, savinged by

## ANTINOMIANISM, &c.

g me I k curaga

## Honoured and dear Sir,

A CCEPT my fincere thanks for the Christian courtefy, with which you treat me in your five letters. The title-page informs me, that a concern for mourning backfliders, and fuels as have been diffreffed by reading Mr. Wesley's minutes, or the vindication of them, has procured me the honor of being called to a public correspondence with you. Permit me, dear Sir, to inform you in my turn, that a fear, lest Dr. Crisp's balm should be applied, instead of the balm of Gilead, to Laodicean loiterers, who may haply have been brought to penitential distress, obliges me to answer you in the same public manner, in which you have addressed me.

Some of our friends will undoubtedly blame us for not yet dropping the contested point. But others will candidly consider, that controversy, tho' not defirable in itself, yet properly managed, has an hundred times rescued truth groaning under the lash of triumphant error. We are indebted to our Lora's controversies with the Pharisees and Scribes for a considerable part of the sour gospels. And to the end of the world the church will bless God, for the spirited manner in which St. Paul, in his epistles to the Romans and Galatians, defended the controverted

A 2

point of a believer's present justification by faith; as well as for the steadiness with which St. James, St. John, St. Peter, and St. Jude, carried on their important controversy with the Nicolaitans, who abused

St. Paul's doctrine to Antinomian purposes.

Had it not been for controverfy, Romish priests would to this day feed us with Latin masses and a waser-god. Some bold propositions, advanced by Luther against the doctrine of indulgencies, unexpectedly brought on the reformation. They were so irrationally attacked by the infatuated Papists, and so scripturally defended by the resolute Protestants, that these kingdoms opened their eyes, and saw thousands of images and errors fall before the ark of

evangelical truth.

From what I have advanced in my Second Check, it appears, if I am not mistaken, that we stand now as much in need of a reformation from Antinomianism, as our ancestors did of a reformation from Popery; and I am not without hope, that the extraordinary attack, which has lately been made upon Mr. Welley's Anti-crispian propositions, and the manner in which they are defended, will open the eyes of many, and check the rapid progress of so enchanting and pernicious an evil. This hope inspires me with fresh courage; and turning from the honorable and Rev. Mr. Shirley, I presume to face (I trust, in the spirit of love and meekness) my new respectable opponent.

I thank you, Sir, for doing Mr. W. the justice in

your

FIRST LETTER

to acknowledge, that "man's faithfulness is an expression, which may be used in a sober, gospel sense of the words." 'Tis just in such a sense we use it; nor have

you advanced any proof to the contrary.

We never supposed, that "the faithfulness of God, and the stability of the covenant of grace, are affected by the unfaithfulness of man." For, we do not take the young man, whom Jesus loved to be God. His unfaithfulness

faith not, faith bis of Laon the thy fays, in m lieve beared

faith and to cover cast Davi were

Rina

T

God

fand tle fi bold conti off. who e'er not l done vena beg

With

Israe
be m

fons

St.

mfed

efts

da

by

ex-

e fo

d fo

hat

ou-

of

v as

ím,

ry;

ary

el-

in

ny,

and

vith

and

the

op.

e in

ex-

fthe

nave

Fod,

dby

the

1471-

lnes

faithfulness in the mammon of unrighteousness, did not, therefore impair, in the least degree, the divine faithfulness. And we are persuaded, our Lord keeps his covenant, when he spues a lukewarm, unfaithful Laodicean out of his mouth, as well as when he says to the good and faithful servant, Enter thou into the joy of thy Lord. For the same covenant of grace which says, He that believeth shall be saved—be that abideth in me, bringeth forth much fruit, says also, He that believeth not shall be damned—every branch in me that beareth not fruit is cast forth and burned.

Thanks be to divine grace, we make our boast of God's faithfulness as well as you, tho' we take care not to charge him, even directly with our own untaithfulness. But from the words which you quote, My covenant shall stand fast with his feed, &c. we see no more reason to conclude that the obstinately unsaithful seed of Christ, such as Hymeneus, Philetus, and those who to the last tread under foot the blood of the covenant subcrewith they were fanctified, shall not be cast off; than to assert that many individuals of David's royal family, such as Absalom and Amnon, were not cut off on account of their slagrant and obstinate wickedness.

We befeech you therefore, for the fake of a thoufand careless Antinomians, to remember that the apostle fays to every believer, Thou standest by faith, bebold therefore the goodness of God towards thee, if thou continue in his goodness; otherwise thou also shalt be cut off. We intreat you to consider, that even those who admire the point of your epigram, " Whene'er we fay one thing we mean quite another," will not be pleased if you apply it to St. Paul, as you have done to Mr. Wesley. And when we see God's covenant with David grossly abused by Antinomians, we beg leave to put them in mind of God's covenant with the house of Eli. Thus faith the Lord God of Grael, I CHOSE thy father out of all the tribes of Ifrael to be my priest; but thou art unfaithful, theu bonorest thy fons above me. - I faid indeed that thy house, and the boufe A. 3

house of thy father, should walk before me for ever: but now be it far from me; for them that honour me I will honor, and they that despise me shall be lightly escemed. Behold the days come, that I will cut off thine arm, and the arm of thine house; and I will raise me up a FAITH-FUL priest, that shall do according to that which is in my heart. I Sam. 2.

Your SECOND LETTER

respects working for life. You make the best of a bad fubject; and really some of your arguments are so plaufible, that I do not wonder so many good men thould commence Calvinists, rather than be at the trouble of detecting their fallacy. I am forry, dear Sir, I cannot do it without dwelling upon Calvinim. My defign was to oppose Antinomianism alone; but the vigorous stand which you make for it upon Calvinian ground, obliges me to encounter you there, or to give up the truth which I am called to defend. I have long dreaded the alternative of difpleafing my friends, or wounding my conscience; but I must yield to the injunctions of the latter, and appeal to the candor of the former. If impetuous rivers of Geneva Calvinism have so long been perunitted to flow thro' England, and even deluge Scotland; have not I fome reason to hope that a rivulet of Geneva Anti-calvinism will be suffered to glide thro' tome of Great-Britain's plains; especially if its little murmur harmonizes with the clearest dictates of reason, and loudest declarations of scripture?

Before I weigh your arguments against avorking for life, permit me to point out the capital mistake upon which they turn. You suppose that free preventing grace does not visit all men, and that all those in whom it has not yet prevailed, are as totally dead to the things of God, as a dead body is to the things of this life: and from this unscriptural supposition you very reasonably conclude, that we can no more turn to God, than corpses can turn themselves in their graves; no more work for life than putrid carcases

can help themselves to a refurrection.

This

T

you

the

The

the

vine

and

and

fcri

rubi

is a

all :

quor

aga

INC

ofi

conj

we

love

WH

eve.

thei

unl

unc

WO

pro

WH

dea

day

gra

ali

is t

and

200

ME

L

This main pillar of your doctrine, will appear to you built upon the fand, if you read the scripture in the light of that mercy which is over all God's works. There you will discover the various dispensations of the everlasting gospel; your contracted views of divine love will open into the most extensive prospects; and your exulting soul will range thro' the boundless fields of that grace, which is both richly free IN all,

and abundantly free FOR all.

but

vill

ed.

H-

22

pad

fo

the

ear m.

ut

al-

re,

le-

lif-

e;

ous

er-

ot-

let

de

its

tes

for

on

ng

in

to

of

ou

m

eir

les.

is

Let us rejoice with reverence while we read such scriptures as these: The Son of man is come to save that which is LOST, and to call SINNERS to repentance. This is a true saying and worthy of all acceptation—worthy of all men to be received, that Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners.—To this end he both died and rose again that he might be the Lord of the DEAD and LIVING. He came not to condemn the WORLD, but that the WORLD thro' him might be saved, and that at the name of Jesus EVERY KNEE should bow, and EVERY TONGUE

confess that he is Lord.

"Bound ev'ry heart and ev'ry bosom burn" while we meditate on these ravishing declarations: God to loved the WORLD that he gave his only begotten Son, that WHOSOEVER believeth on him should not perish, but have everlasting life. He was made under the law, to redeem them that were under the law, that is, all mankind; unless it can be proved that some men never came He is the friend of SINunder the curse of the law. NERS, the physician of the SICK, and the Saviour of the WORLD; He died, the just for the UNJUST; He is the propitiation, not for our fins only, but for the fins of THE WHOLE WORLD. One died for ALL, because ALL were dead. As in Adam ALL die, even fo in Christ, during the day of their vifitation, all are bleffed with quickning grace, and therefore in the last day ALL Shall be made alive to give an account of their bleffing or talent. He is the Saviour of ALL men, especially of them that believe; and the news of his birth are tidings of great joy to ALL. people. As by the offence of one judgment came upon ALL MEN, even to by the righteousness of one the FREE GIFT

came upon ALL MEN; for Christ by the grace of God tasted death for EVERY MAN; he is the lamb of God who taketh away the sin of the WORLD, therefore God commandeth ALL MEN EVERY WHERE to repent—to

did

tha

ALI

SA

E077

and

Chi

ful

lefs

tio

bei

die

ma

ac

the

th

Ca

211

tr

H

ti

look unto bim and be faved.

Do we not take choice jewels from Christ's crown, when we explain away these bright testimonies given to his free grace; It pleased the Father by him to reconcile ALL THINGS to himself.—The kindness and pity of God our Saviour towards MAN has appeared.—I will draw all men unto me.—I came NOT to judge the WORLD but to save the WORLD.—He came into the world to save sinners, the chief not accepted.—God was in him reconciling the WORLD unto himself. Hence he says to the most obstinate of his opposers, These things have I spoken unto you that you might be saved.—If I had not come and spoken unto them, they had not had sin in rejecting me, but now they have no cloak for their sin, no excuse for their unbelief.

Once indeed, when the apostles were on the brink of the most dreadful trial, their compassionate Master said, I pray for them, I pray not for the WORLD. "As if he had said, their immediate danger makes me pray as if there were but these eleven men in the world, boly Father keep them." But having given them this seasonable testimony of a just preference, he adds, Neither pray I for these alone, but for them world shall believe:—and he prays they may be the world,—that the WORLD may believe—and may know

that thou haft fent me.

If our Lord's not praying for a moment on a particular occasion for the world, implies that the world is absolutely reprobated, we should be glad of an answer to the two following queries. (1) Why did he pray the next day for Pilate and Herod, Annas and Caiaphas, the Priests and Pharisees, the Jewish moband Roman soldiers; in a word for the countless multitude of his revilers and murderers? Were they all elect, or was this ejaculation no prayer? Father forgive them, for they know not what they do! (2.) Why

od

od

to

n,

es

to

D

0

as

e

35

1

25

27

e

e

.

S

e

1

9.

12.

0

9

5

did he commission St. Paul to say, I exbort first of all that supplications, prayers, and intercessions be made for ALL MEN, for this is acceptable in the sight of God OUR SAVIOUR, who will have ALL MEN to be SAVED, and come to the knowledge of the truth. For there is one God and one mediator between God and MEN, the MAN Christ Jesus; who gave himself a ransom for ALL?

Without losing time in proving, that none but artful and defigning men, use the word all to mean the less number; and that all, in some of the abovementioned passages, must absolutely mean all mankind, as being directly opposed to all that are condemned and die in Adam; and without stopping to expose the new Calvinian ereation of "a whole world of elect;" upon the preceding scripture I raise the following doctrine of free grace. It Christ tasted death for every man, there is undoubtedly a gospel for every man,

even for those who perish by rejecting it.

St. Paul says, that God shall judge the secrets of MEN, according to his gospel. St. Peter asks, What shall be the end of those, who obey not the Gospel of God? And the apostle answers, Christ revealed in staming fire will take vengeance on them who obey not the Gospel, that is, all the ungodly who receive the grace of God in vain, or turn it into lasciviousness. They do not perish because the gospel is a lie with respect to them; but because they receive not the love of the TRUTH that they might be saved. God, to punish their rejecting the truth, permits that they should believe a lie; that they all might be damned, who to the last hour of their day of grace believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness.

The latitude of our Lord's commission to his ministers demonstrates the truth of this doctrine. Go into ALL the world, and teach ALL nations, haptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. Hence those gracious and general invitations, Ho EVERY ONE that thirsteth after happiness, come ye to the waters; If any man thirst after pleasure, let him come to me and drink.—Come unto me all ye that

labour

influ

or t

ligh

Mo

Pau

not

grea

of r

that

cros

and

upo

gro

atte

the

whi

roc

fun

glo

to t

who

Ho

blo

mo

tur

to t

of

mil

wit

the

wit

his

doc

gof

Fer

may

Tabour for want of reft, and I will give it you.—Whosoever will, let him come and take of the water of life freely.—Ye adulterers,—draw nigh unto God, and he will draw nigh unto you.—Behold I ftand at the door and knock, if ANY MAN open, I will come in and jup with him. Go out into the highways and hedges, preach the gospel to every creature, and lo I am with you to the end of the world.

If you compare all the preceding scriptures, I flatter myself Hon. Sir, you will perceive that as the redemption of Christ is general, to there is a general gospel, which is more or less clearly revealed to all, according to the clearer or more obscure dispensa-

tion which they are outwardly under.

This doctrine may appear strange to those who call nothing gospel but the last dispensation of it. Such should remember that as a little feed sown in the spring is one with the large plant into which it expands its summer; so the gospel in its least appearance, is one with the gospel grown up to sull maturity. Our Lord considering it both as sown in man's heart, and sown in the world, speaks of it under the name of the kingdom of beaven, compares it to corn, and considers first the seed, then the blade, next the ear, and last of all the full corn in the ear,

(1.) The gospel was sown in the world as a little but general feed, when God began to quicken mankind in Adam, by the precious promise of a Saviour: and when he said to Noah, the second general parent of men, With thee will I establish my covenant; blessing

him and his fons after the deluge.

(2.) The gospel appeared as corn in the blade, when God renewed the promise of the Messiah to Abraham with this addition, that tho' the Redeemer should be born of his elect family, divine grace and mercy were too free to be consined within the narrow bounds of a peculiar election: therefore in his seed, that is, in Christ the sunof righteousness All the families of the earth should be blessed; as they are all cheared with the genial instrument.

influence of the natural fun, whether he shines above or below their horizon, whether he particularly en-

lightens the one or the other hemisphere.

(3.) The gospel word grew much in the days of Moles, Samuel and Isaiah; for the gofpel, says St. Paul, was preached unto them as well as unto us, tho not fo explicitly. But when John the Baptist a greater prophet than they all, began to preach the gofpel of repentance, and point finners to the Lamb of God that taketh away the fins of the world, then the ear crowned the blade which had long been at a stand, and even feemed to be blafted.

HO-

life

tle

loor

Sup

ach

you

, I

the

eral

all.

fa-

all

ich

ing

its

ne

ur

nd

the

ers

of

tle

n-

r:

nt

ng

en

m

be

cy

ds

in

th

al

ce

(4) The great Luminary of the church shiningwarm upon the earth, his direct beams caused a rapid The favonian breathing and fighs which attended his preaching and prayers, the genial dews which distilled on Gethsemane, during his agony, the fruitful showers which descended on Calvary, while the blackest storm of divine wrath rent the rocks around, and the transcendent radiance of our fun, rifing after this dreadful eclipse to his meridian glory; -all concurred to minister fertile influences to the plant of renown. And on the day of pentecost, when power came from on high, when the fire of the Holy Ghost seconded the virtue of the Redeemer's blood, the full corn was feen in the mystical ear; the most perfect of the gospel-dispensations came to maturity; and Christians began to bring forth fruit unto the perfection of their own aconomy.

As some good men overlook the gradual displays of the manifold gospel-grace of God, so others I fear, mistake the effence of the gospel itself. Few fay with St. Paul the gospel of which I am not ashamed, is the power of God unto falvation to every one that believeth with the heart unto righteousness, according to the light of his dispensation: and many are afraid of his Catholic doctrine, when he fums up the general everlasting gospel in these words: God was not the God of the Jews only, but of the Gentiles also; because that which may be known of God, under their dispensation, is ma-

nifeft

bis

der

tha

ftri they

WC:

the

pro

ado

you

wh left

ers

cip

An

rai

food

ans

fer

not

be

bre

tio.

tha

rep

the

ım

272

of

are

cla

tin

cer

his

rifest in them, God having showed it unto them. For the grace of God which bringeth salvation, or rather n xapis n cornpius, the grace emphatically saving, bath appeared unto all men; teaching us to deny ungodliness and worldly lusts, and to live soberly, justly

and godly in this present world.

"But how does this faving grace touch us?" By proposing to us the saving truths of our dispensation, and beloing our unbelief, that we may cordially embrace them; for without faith it is impossible to please God. Even the Heathens who come to God must be LIEVE that he is, and that he is the rewarder of them that diligently seek him: for there is no difference between the Jew and the Greek, the same Lord over all

being rich unto all that call upon bim.

Here the Apostle starts the great Calvinian objection: But how shall they believe, and call on him of whom they have not heard, &c.? And having observed that the Jews had heard, tho' few had believed, he says, So then faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God, which is nigh, even in the mouth and in the heart of all who receive the truth revealed under their dispensation. Then resuming his answer to the Calvinian objection he cries out, Have not they (Jews and Greeks) all heard preachers, who invite them to believe that God is good and powerful, and consequently that he is the rewarder of thosewho diligently seek him? Yes verily, replies he, their sound went into all the earth, and their words unto the ends of the world.

"If you ask, Who are those general heralds of free grace, whose sound goes from pole to pole?" The scripture answers with becoming dignity: The beavens declare the glory of God, and the sir mament steward his handy work. Day unto day uttereth speech, and night water night showeld knowledge. There is no speech or language, no country or kingdom, where there woice is not heard. Their instructing line went thro' the earth their vast parish, and their awords to the end of the world their immense diocese. For the invisible things of God, that is, his greatness and wildom, his goodness and mercy,

his eternal power and godhead are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made and preserved, so that the very Heathens, who do not obey their striking speech, are without excuse; because that when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither

were thankful.

For

er

18,

m-

lily

By

on.

m-

afe

E-

(177

be-

all

b-

of

red

he

the

in

der

the

WS

to

nt-

m?

th,

of

217

The

eth

ght

in-

not

raft

m-

15,

bis

This is the gospel-alphabet, if I may be allowed the expression. The Apostle, like a wise instructor, proceeded upon the plan of this free-grace when he addressed himself to the Heathens. We preach unto you, said he to the Lycaonians, that ye should turn from these vanities to serve the living God, who made beaven and earth, and the sea, and all things therein; who, even when he suffered all nations to walk in their own ways, lest not himself without witness; that is, without preachers, according to that saying of our Lord to his disciples, I'e shall be my witnesses, and teach all nations. And these witnesses were the good which God did, the rain be gave us from beaven, fruitful seasons, and the sood and gladness with which be filled our hearts.

St. Paul preached the same gospel to the Athenians, wifely coming down to the level of their inferior dispensation. The God that made the world dwells not, like a statue, in temples made with bands, nor bath be need of any thing; seeing he giveth to all life, and breath, and all things. He hath made of one blood all nations of men who devell on all the face of the earth, not that they might live like Atheists, and perish like reprobates, but that they might feek the Lord, if haply they might feel after him and find him. Nor is this an impossibility, as he is not far from every one of us, for in him we live and move and have our being, as certain of your own poets have taught, juilly afferting that we are the offspring of God. Hence he proceeds to declare, that God calls all men every where to repent, intimating that upon their turning to him, he will receive them as his dear children, and blefs them as his beloved offspring.

These, and the like scriptures forced Calvin himself into an happy inconsistency with Calvinism. "The Lord, fays he in an epiffle prefixed to the "French new Testament, never lest himself without

" a witness, even towards them unto whom he has not fent any knowledge of his word. Forasmuch

as all creatures, from the firmament to the center of the earth, might be witnesses and messengers of

" his glory unto all men, to draw them to feek him;
" and indeed there is no need to feek him very far,
" for every one might find him in his ownfelf."

And no doubt fome have; for altho' the world knew not Godby'the wifdom that is earthly, sensual and devilish; yet many have savingly known him by his general witnesses, that is, the wonderful works that he doth for the children of men; for that which may be known of God, in the lowest economy of gospel grace, is ma-

nifeft in them, as well as sheavn unto them.

What! Is there fomething of God inwardly manifest in, as well as outwardly shewn to all men?" Undoubtedly; the grace of God is as the wind, which bloweth where it lifteth; and it lifteth to blowwith more or less force fuccessively all over the earth. You can as foon meet with a man that never felt the wind, or heard the found thereof, as with one that never felt the divine breathings, or heard the still small voice, which we call the grace of God, and which bids us turn from fin to righteousness. To suppose the Lord gives us a thousand tokens of his eternal power and godhead, without giving us a capacity to confider, and grace to improve them, is not less absurd than to imagine that when he bestowed upon Adam all the trees of paradife for food, he gave him no eyes to fee, no hands to gather, and no mouth to eat their delicious fruits.

We readily grant that Adam, and we in him, lost all by the fall; but Christ, the Lamb slain from the foundation of the world, Christ the repairer of the breach, mightier to save than Adam to destroy, solemnly gave himself to Adam, and to us in him, by the free everlasting gospel which he preached in paradise. And when he preached it he undoubtedly gave Adam,

und

an

Po

m

on

lig

wt

go

his

yo

18

gi

ma for

M

by

ha

un ful

ver

bat

me

pai

fuc

rep

qui

the

rep

adı

to

lea

rep

and us in him, a capacity to receive it, that is a power to believe and repent. It he had not, he might as well have preached to stocks and stones, to beasts and devils. It is offering an insult to the only wife God, to suppose that he gave mankind the light, without giving them eyes to behold it; or which is the same, to suppose that he gave them the gospel, without giving them power to believe it.

As it was with Adam, so it is undoubtedly with all his posterity. By what argument or scripture will you prove, that God excluded part of Adam (or what is the same thing, part of his offspring, which was then part of his very person) from the promise and gift which he freely made him of the seed of the evoman, and the bruiser of the serpent's head? Is it reasonable to deny the gift, because multitudes of infidels reject it, and thousands of Antinomians abuse it? May not a bounty be really given by a charitable person, tho' it is despised by a proud, or squandered

by a loose beggar?

ė

It

h

er

r,

w

al

or

of

1-

h

re

in

or

10

h

es

d,

ce

ne

10

10

US

oft

n-

b,

ve

1-

nd

n,

ard

Waving the case of infants, idiots, and those who have finned the fin unto death, was there ever a finner under no obligation to repent and believe in a merciful God? O ye opposers of free-grace, search the universe with Calvin's candle, and among your reprobated millions, find out the person that never had a merciful God: and shew us the unfortunate creature, whom a fovereign God bound over to absolute defpair of his mercy from the womb. If there is no fuch person in the world: if all men are bound to repent and believe in a merciful God, there is an end of Calvinism. An unprejudiced man can require no stronger proof that all are redeemed from the curse of the Adamic law, which admitted of no repentance; and that the covenant of grace which admits of, and makes provision for it, freely extends to all mankind.

Out of Christ's fulness all have received grace, a little leaven of faving power, an inward monitor, a divine reprover, a ray of true heavenly light, which manifests

fests first moral, and then spiritual good and evil, St. John bears witness of that light, and declares it was the spiritual life of men, the true light, which enlighteneth not only every man that comes into the church, but every man that comethinto the world—without excepting those who are yet in darkness. For the light shineth in the darkness, even when the darkness comprehends it not. The Baptist bore also witness of that light, that all men throit, not thro'him, might believe. (our light being the last antecedent, and agreeing perfectly with Si auss

Hence appears the fufficiency of that divine light to make all men believe in Christ the light of the world, according to Christ's own words to the Jews, While we have the light, believe in the light, that ye may be the children of the light.—Walk while you have the light, lest darkness come upon you, even that total night of nature

when no man can work.

Those who resist this internal light generally reject the external gospel, or receive it only in the letter and history: and too many such there have been in all ages: for Christ was in the world, even when the world knew him not: therefore he was manifest in the stell. The same sun which had shined as the dawn, arose with healing in his wings, and came to deliver the truth which was held in righteousness, and to help the light which was not comprehended by the darkness. But alas! when he came to his own, even then his own received him not. Why? Because they were reprobates? No: but because they were moral agents.

This is the condemnation, fays he himself, that light came into the WORLD, but MEN that their eyes against it. They loved darkness rather than light, BECAUSE their works were evil. They would go on in the sins which the light reproved, and therefore they opposed it till it was quenched, that is, till it totally withdrew from their hearts. To the same purpose our Lord says, The heart of this people is waxed gross, their cars are dull of hearing, and their eyes have they closed against the light, less they should see with their eyes, and understand with their hearts, and should be converted, and I should heal them. The same unerring teacher informs

us,

take

belie

min

gojp F

mif

now

felf

80/p

lute

hav

ed

the

2001

prec

the

ober

Wit

tho

Inf

fuff

gin

no

Suff

onl

the

rick

FEI

ftar

vin

us, that the devil cometh to the way-fide hearers, and taketh away the word out of their hearts, left they should believe and he faved. And if our gospel is hid, says St. Paul, it is to them that believe not, and are lost, whose minds the God of this world hath blinded, less the glorious gospel of Christ should shine unto them.

From these scriptures it is evident that Calvin was mistaken, or that the devil is a fool. For if man is now totally blind why should the devil bestir himself to blind him? And why should he fear less the gospel should shine to them that are lost, if there is absolutely no gospel for them, or they have no eyes to

fee, no capacity to receive it?

il,

eas

n-

ut

ng

in

ot.

ren

he

8

ht

ld,

rile

the

left

ire

re-

et-

en

en

172

n,

the

he

ut

·e-

0-

bt

nft

SE

ns

ed

W

rd

275

nst

19-

II

ns

Whether finners know their gospel-day or not, they Read the history of Cain, who is supposed to be the first reprobate; and see how graciously the Lord expostulated with him. Confider the old quorld: St. Peter speaking of them says, The gospel quas preached to them also that are dead; for Christ went by the Spirit, and preached even to those who were difobedient, when once the long-Suffering of God waited 120 years in the days of Noah. Nor did the Lord wait with an intention of having them compleatly fattened for the day of flaughter: far be the unbecoming thought from those who worship the God of love! Instead of entertaining it, let us account that the longsuffering of our Lord is SALVATION, that is, a beginning of falvation, and a fure pledge of it: if we know and redeem the accepted time : for the Lord is longsuffering to us-award, not willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance.

Nor does God's long-suffering extend to the electionly. It embraces also those who treasure up unto themselves wrath against the day of wrath, by despising the riches of divine goodness and for bearance and LONG-SUF-FERING, not knowing that the goodness of God leads them to repentance. Of this the Jews are a remarkable instance. What could God have done more to his Jewish vineyard? He gathered the stones of it, and planted it with the choicest vine; and yet when HE LOGKED that

B 3

felts first moral, and then spiritual good and evil, St. John bears witness of that light, and declares it was the spiritual life of men, the true light, which enlighteneth not only every man that comes into the church, but every man that comethinto the world—without excepting those who are yet in darkness. For the light shineth in the darkness, even when the darkness comprehends it not. The Baptist bore also witness of that light, that all men thro it, not thro' him, might believe. (vas light being the last antecedent, and agreeing perfectly with Si avis

Hence appears the sufficiency of that divine light to make all men believe in Christ the light of the world, according to Christ's own words to the Jews, While ye have the light, believe in the light, that ye may be the children of the light.—Walk while you have the light, lest darkness come upon you, even that total night of nature

when no man can work.

Those who resist this internal light generally reject the external gospel, or receive it only in the letter and history: and too many such there have been in all ages: for Christ was in the world, even when the world knew him not: therefore he was manifest in the stell. The same sun which had shined as the dawn, arose with bealing in his wings, and came to deliver the truth which was held in righteousness, and to help the light which was not comprehended by the darkness. But alas! when he came to his own, even then his own received him not. Why? Because they were reprobates? No: but because they were moral agents.

This is the condemnation, fays he himself, that light came into the world, but men that their eyes against it. They loved darkness rather than light, BECAUSE their works were evil. They would go on in the fins which the light reproved, and therefore they opposed it till it was quenched, that is, till it totally withdrew from their hearts. To the same purpose our Lord says, The heart of this people is waxed gross, their cars are dull of hearing, and their eyes have they closed against the light, lest they should see with their eyes, and understand with their hearts, and should be converted, and I should heal them. The same unerring teacher informs

us,

take

beli

Pau

min

mis

nov

felf

80/1

lute

ice,

hav

ed

the

200

pre

the

obe

WI

tor

the

In

fut

gin

Pno

fut

on

the

ric

FE

fta

TU

us, that the devil cometh to the way-side hearers, and taketh away the word out of their hearts, lest they should believe and be saved. And if our gospel is hid, says St. Paul, it is to them that believe not, and are lost, whose minds the God of this world hath blinded, lest the glorious gospel of Christ should shine unto them.

From these scriptures it is evident that Calvin was mistaken, or that the devil is a sool. For if man is now totally blind why should the devil bestir himself to blind him? And why should he fear lest the gospel should shine to them that are lost, if there is absolutely no gospel for them, or they have no eyes to

fee, no capacity to receive it?

vil.

was

ten-

but

ing

bin

not.

men

the

18

ght

rld.

bile

the

left

ure

re-

et-

een

nen

in ?

VII,

the

the

3ut

2.6-

ro-

ght

nit

SE

ins

fed

ew

ord

ars

nst

er-

d I ms

Whether finners know their gospel-day or not, they Read the history of Cain, who is supposed to be the first reprobate; and fee how graciously the Lord expostulated with him. Consider the old quorld: St. Peter speaking of them fays, The gospel quas preached to them also that are dead; for Christ went by the Spirit, and preached even to those who were difobedient, when once the long-suffering of God waited 120 years in the days of Noah. Nor did the Lord wait with an intention of having them compleatly fattened for the day of flaughter; far be the unbecoming thought from those who worship the God of love! Instead of entertaining it, let us account that the longsuffering of our Lord is SALVATION, that is, a beginning of falvation, and a fure pledge of it: if we know and redeem the accepted time : for the Lord is long-Suffering to us-ward, not willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance.

Nor does God's long-suffering extend to the elect only. It embraces also those who treasure up unto themselves wrath against the day of wrath, by despising the riches of divine goodness and for bearance and LONG-SUF-FERING, not knowing that the goodness of God leads them to repentance. Of this the Jews are a remarkable instance. What could God have done more to his Jewish vineyard? He gathered the stones of it, and planted it with the choicest vine; and yet when HE LOCKED that

B 3

it should have brought forth grapes, it brought forth wild grapes: when he fent his servants to receive the fruits, they were abused and sent away empty. Hence it is evident that the Jews had a day, in which they could have brought forth fruit, or the wife God would no more have looked for it, than a wife man expects to see the pine-apple grow upon the haw-thorn.

Nay, the most obstinate, pharisaic, and bloody of the Jews had a day, in which our Lord in person, would have gathered them with as much tenderness, as a hen gathers her brood under her wings. And when he saw their free-agency, absolutely set against his loving-kindness, he wept over them, and deplored their not having known the things belonging unto their peace,

before they were hid from their cyes.

Our gracious God freely gives one or more talents of grace to every man : nor was ever any man cast into utter darkness where shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth: but for the not using his talent aright, as our Lord fufficiently declares, Matt. xxv. 30. Alluding to that important parable, I would observe, that the Christian has five talents, the Jew two, and the Heathen one. If he that has two talents lays them out to advantage, he shall receive a reward as well as he that has five: and the one talent is as capable of a proportionable improvement as the two or the five. The equality of God's ways does not confist in giving just the same number of gracious talents to all; but first in not desiring to gather where he has not strawed, or to reap above a proportion of his feed: and (2.) in graciously dispensing rewards according to the number of talents improved, and the degrees of that improvement: (and in justly inflicting punishments, according to the number of the talents bury'd) and the aggravations attending their unfaithfulness. For unto subomfoever much is given, of him shall be much required, and to whom men have committed much, of him they will ask the more.

We frequently speak of God's fecret decrees, the knowledge of which is as useless as it is uncertain; buribas
to r
mar
and
ful
foet
to l
ftov
fait
tain
feed
bas

but

I anı mo Pel lag ma in bel jud her car laff thi do en pe H

Lo

pa

wild

uits,

evi-

uld

no

s to

of

on,

, as

he

ov-

eir

ice,

nts

nto

of

our

ing

the

the

em

as

fa

ve.

ng

out

ed.

in

m-

m-

ac-

he

nto

re-

he

1;

ut

but feldom confider that folemn decree fo often revealed in the gospel, To bim that bas grace to purpose, more shall be given; and from him that has not, that has buried his gracious talent, and therefore in one fenie has it not, Shall be taken away even that which he hath to no purpose: according to our Lord's awful command, Take the talent from him that hath buried it, and give it to him that bath ten, for the good and faithful fervant shall have abundance.\* He who fays, What soever a man soweth, that shall be also reap, is too just to look for an increase from those on whom he beflows no talent; and as he calls for repentance and faith, and for a daily increase of both, he has certainly bestowed upon us the feed of both, for he gives feed to the forver, and does not defire to reap where be has not fown.

Methinks my honored opponent cries out with aniazement: What! have all men power to repent and believe? And in the mean time a Benedictine monk comes up to vouch that this doctrine is rank Pelagianism. But permit me to observe, that if Pelagius had acknowledged as we do the total fall of man; and afcribed with us to the free grace of God in Jesus Christ, all the power we have to repent and believe, none of the Fathers would have been fo injudicious and uncharitable as to rank him amongst heretics. We maintain that altho' without Christ we can do nothing, yet fo long as the day of Jalvation lasts, all men, the chief of sinners not accepted, can, thro' his preventing grace, cease to do evil, learn to do well, and use those means which will infallibly end in the repentance, and faith peculiar to the difpensation they are under, whether it be that of the Heathens, Jews, or Christians.

If the author of Pietas Oxonienfis, and father Walsh deny this, they might as well charge Christ

<sup>\*</sup> I must do the Calvinists the justice to observe that as our Lord says, ask and bave; so Elisha Coles says, Use grace and bave grace, which is all that we contend for, if the inteparable counterpart of the axiom is admitted, " abuse grace and lose grace."

the f

mile

fent

peni

hell

ill d

robb

veft

44 ]

feve

tice

be 1

who

mo

Iba

tan

toll

rea

bou

cal

up

nif

ita

the

nil

us

ce

th

pi

da

tle

ele

0

S

with the absurdity of tafting death for every man, in order to keep most men from the very possibility of being benefitted by his death. They might as well affert that altho' the free gift came upon ALL men, yet it never came upon a vait majority of them; and openly maintain, that Christ deserves to be called the destroyer rather than the Saviour of the world. For if the greatest part of mankind may be considered as the eworld, if repentance and faith are absolutely impossible to them, and Jesus came to denounce destruction to all who do not repent and believe, let every thinking man fay whether he might not be called with greater propriety the Deftroyer than the Saviour of the world; and whether preaching the Crifpian gospel, is not like reading the warrant of inevitable damnation to millions of wretched creatures. But upon the scheme of what you call the "Weslean orthodoxy," Christ is really the Saviour of all men, but efpecially of them that believe: for he indulges all with a day of falvation, and if none but believers make a proper use of it, the fault is not in his partiality, but in their own obstinacy.

In what a pitiful light does your scheme place our Lord! Why did he marwel at the unbelief of the fews, if they could no more believe than a stone can swim? And say not that he marvelled "as a man;" for the affertion absolutely unmans him. What man ever wondered that an ass does not bray with the nightingale's melodious voice? Nay, what child ever marvelled that the ox does not fly above the clouds with

the foaring eagle?

The same observation holdswith regard to repentance. Then he began, says St. Matthew: to upbraid the cities wherein most of his mighty workswere done, EECAUSE they repented not. Merciful Saviour forgive us: We have insulted thy meek wisdom, by representing thee as cruelly upbraiding the lame for not running, the blind for not seeing, and the dumb for not speaking!

But this is not all, if Capernaum could not have repented at our Lord's preaching, as well as Nineveh at the preaching of Jonas; how do we reflect upon his mild equity, and adorable goodness, when we reprefent him as pronouncing woe upon woe over the impenitent city, and threatning to fink it into a deeper hell than Sodom, BECAUSE it repented not! And how ill does it become us to exclaim against Deists for robbing Christ of his divinity, when we ourselves di-

vest him of common bumanity!

, in

y of

well

Vet

and

the

r if

the

offi-

ion

ak-

uth

the

el,

na-

on

10-

e/-

ith

ea

ut

ur

US,

13

9e

er

t-

r-

h

e.

£5

ey

e

IS

e

-

it

Suppose a school-master faid to his English scholars, " Except you instantly speak Greek you shall all be feverely whipped," you would wonder at the injustice of the school-tyrant. But would not the wretch be merciful, in comparison of a Saviour, (so called) who is supposed to fay to myrads of men who can no more repent than ice can burn, Except you repent you shall all perish? I confess that when I see real protestants calling this doctrine "the pure gospel," and extolling it as " free grace," I no more wonder that real papists should call their bloody inquisition the house of mercy, and their burning of those whom they call Heretics an + auto de fe.

Obj. At this rate our falvation or damnation turns upon the good or bad use which we make of the manitold grace of God! And we are in this world in a state of probation, and not merely upon our passage to the rewards which everlasting love, or to the punishments which everlasting hatred has freely allotted us from the foundation of the world!

Ans. Undoubtedly; for what man of sense (I except those who thro' hurry and mistake have put on the veil of prejudice) could shew his face in a pulpit, to exhort a multitude of reprobates to avoid a damnation absolutely unavoidable; and invite a little flock of elect, to lose no time in making sure an

election, furer than the pillars of heaven?

Again, who but a tyrant will make the life of his subjects turn upon a thing that is not at all at their option? When Nero was determined to put people to death, had he not humanity and honesty enough not to tantalize them with insulting offers of life? To whom did he ever say, " If thou pluckest one star from heaven thou shalt not die; but if thou sailest in the attempt, the most dreadful and lingering torments shall punish thy obstinacy?" And shall I, shall my Christian brethren, represent the King of saints as guilty of—what my pen refuses to write, that which Nero himself was too merciful to contrive?

bave finned in Adam, and the wages of fin is death, God did the reprobates no wrong when he condemned them to eternal torments, before they knew their right hand from their left; yea, before the founda-

tion of the world."

Anf. The plausibility of this objection, heightened by voluntary bumility, has missed thousands of pious souls; God give them understanding to weigh the sollowing reflections. (1) If an unconditional absolute decree of damnation passed upon the reprobates BEFORE the foundation of the world; it is absurd to account for the justice of such a decree, by appealing to a sin committed AFTER the foundation of the world.

(2) If Adam finned necessarily according to the seret will and purpose of God, as you intimate in your 4th letter, many do not see how he, much less his posterity could justly be condemned to eternal torments for doing an iniquity which God's band and

counsel determine d before to be done.

(3) As we finned only feminally in Adam, if God had not intended our redemption, his goodness would have engaged him to destroy us feminally, by crushing the capital offender who contained us all: so there would have been a just proportion between the sin, and the punishment; for as we finned in Adam without the least consciousness of guilt, so in him we should have been punished without the least consciousness of pain. This observation may be illustrated by an example. If I catch a mischievous animal, a viper for instance, I have undoubtedly a right to kill her, and destroy her dangerous broad if the is big with young. But if instead of dispatching

many of he who appl God tions orde orbit just, port and

her a

that dual of condant his when race name goff the of G

the

that due the good Go

JE 11

and

the

Chr

(

life?

eft in

finall

that

. ?

fall

God

ned

heir

nda-

ten-

10US

tol-

lute

BE-

unt

fin

e se-

our

his

tor-

and

Fod

uld

ing

erc

in,

th-

we

ci-

ra-

ni-

y a

lif

ng

her as foon as I can, I feed her on purpose to get many broods from her, and torment to death millions of her offspring, I can hardly pass for the good man, who regards the life of a beast. Leaving to you the application of this timile, I ask: Do we honour God when we break the equal beams of his perfections? when we blacken his goodness and mercy, in order to make his justice and greatness shine with exorbitant lustre? If "a God all mercy is a God unjust," may not we say, according to the rule of proportion, that, "a God all justice is a God unkind," and can never be he whose mercy is over all his works?

(5.) But the moment we allow that the blessing of the second Adam is as general as the curse of the first: that God sets again life and death before every individual, and that he mercifully restores to all a capacity of chusing life, yea and of having it one day more abundantly than Adam himself had before the fall, we see his goodness and justice shine with equal radiance, when he spares guilty Adam to propagate the fallen race, that they may share the blessings of a better covenant, For, according to the Adamic law, judgment was by one sin to condemnation; but the free gift of the gospel is of many offences to justification. For if thro the offence of one the many be dead; much more the grace of God, and the gift by grace, which is by one man Jesus Christ, hath abounded unto the many.

(6) Rational and scriptural as the preceding observations are, we could spare them, and answer your objection thus. You think God may justly decree, that millions of his unborn creatures shall be vessels of everath to all eternity, overslowing with the vengeance due to Adam's pre-ordained sin; but you are not nearer the mark: for granting that he could do it as a just, good, and merciful God; yet he cannot do it as the God of faithfulness and truth. His word and oath are gone forth together: Hear both. What mean ye that so use this proverb ? "The fathers have caten sour grapes, and the children's teeth are set on edge:" As I LIVE, says the Lord-God, ye shall not have occasion any more to use

eternally. Every one shall die for his own avoidable iniquity. Every man that eateth the sour grape, when he might have eaten the sweet, his teeth shall justly be set on edge. When God has thus made oath of his equity and impartiality before mankind, it is rather bold to charge him with contriving Calvin's election, and setting up the Protestant Madona, the great image before which a considerable part of the church

continually falls down and worships.

O ye honest Shadrachs, who gaze upon it with admiration, fee how fome Calvinian doctors deify it, Decreta Dei funt ipfe Deus, The decrees of God are God bimself. See Elisha Coles advancing at the head of thoufands of his admirers, and hear how he exhorts them to worship : Let us make election our all ; our bread, water, munition of rocks, and whatever elfe ave can suppose to svant, that is, Let us make the great image our God. Ye candid Meshechs, ye considerate Abednegos, follow not this mistaken multitude; before you cry with them, " Great is the Diana of the Calvinist!" walk once around the celebrated image: and I am perfuaded that if you can make out Free Grace written in running hand upon her finiling face, you will fee FREE WRATH written in black capitals upon her deformed back; and then, far from being angry at the liberty I take to expose her, you will wish speed to to the little stone which I level at her iron-clay-feet.

Think not, honored Sir, that I say about freewrath, what I cannot possibly prove: for you help me yourself to a striking demonstration. I suppose you still upon your travels. You come to the borders of a great empire, and the first thing that strikes you, is a man in an easy carriage going with folded arms to take possession of an immense estate freely given him by the King of the country. Ashe slies along you just make out the motto of the royal chariot, in which he dozes, Free Reward. Soon after you meet five of the kings carts, containing twenty wretches loaded with irons and the motto of every cart is, Free Pu-

NISHMENT.

NIS

trac

our

is t

18 72

rew

his

for

ners

rew

nish

TATE

pitc

are

cha kin

and

leds

con

and

qua

obli

bis p

thoc

tula

bur

aniv

me

a p

com

ries

that

" (

Who

plijh

1 die

able

hen

ully

his

ther

lon,

reat

urch

ad-

v it,

God

hou-

hem

qua-

0/0 10

God.

fol-

with

walk

fuad-

en in

Il fee

r de-

t the

ed to

e you

ers of

ms to

him

u juit

ch he

ive of

oaded

E Pu-

ENT.

freehelp NISHMENT. You enquire into the meaning of this extraordinary procession, and the sheriff attending the execution aniwers: Know, curious stranger, that our Monarch is absolute; and to shew that sovereignty is the prerogative of his imperial crown, and that he is no respecter of persons, he distributes every day FREE rewards and FREE punishments, to a certain number of his fubjects .- "What! without any regard to merit or demerit, by mere caprice!"-Not altogether fo, for be pitches upon the WORST of men, and chief of finners, and upon juch to CHOOSE, for the subjects of his rewards. (Elisha Coles, page 62) And that his punishments may do as much honour to free fovereign whath, as his bounty does to free fovereign grace, he pitches upon those that shall be executed, before they are born.-" What! have these poor creatures in chains done no harm?" O yes, fays the sheriff, the king contrived that their parents should let them fall, and break their legs before they had any knowledge; when they came to years of discretion he commanded them to run a race with broken legs, and because they cannot do it, I am going to see them Some of them besides this have been quartered. obliged to fulfil the king's fecret will, and bring about his purpojes; and they shall be burned in yonder deep valley called Topbet, for their trouble,-You are thocked at the sheriff's account, and begin to expostulate with him about the freeness of the wrath which burns a man for doing the king's will; but all the aniwer you can get from him is that which you give me in your 4th letter, page 23, where speaking of a poor reprobate you say, Such an one is indeed accomplishing the king's, you fay God's decree, but he carries a dreadful mark in his forehead, that fuch a decree is, that he shall be punished with everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord of the country. You cry out. "God deliver me from the hands of a monarch, who punishes with everlasting destruction such as accomplish bis decree!" and while the magistrate intimates that

that your exclamation is a dreadful mark if not in your forehead, at least upon your tongue, that you yourself, shall be apprehended against the next execution, and made a public instance of the king's free wrath, your blood runs cold, you bid the postilion turn the horses; they gallop for your life, and the moment you get out of the dreary land, you bless God for your nar-

row escape.

May reason and scripture draw your soul with equal speed from the difmal fields of Cole's fovereignty, to the smiling plains of primitive Christianity. Here you have God's election without Calvin's reprobation. Here Christ chuses the Jews without rejecting the Gentiles, and elects Peter, James and John to the enjoyment of peculiar privileges, without reprobating Matthew, Thomas and Simon. Here no body is damned for not doing impossibilities, or for doing what he could not possibly help. Here all that are faved enjoy rewards thro' the merits of Christ, according to the degrees of evangelical obedience which the Lord enables, not forces, them to perform. Here free wrath never appeared; all our damnation is of ourselves, when we neglect such great falvation, by obstinately refusing to work it out with fear and trembling. But this is not all, here free grace does not rejoice over flocks but over men, who gladly confess that their falvation is all of God, who for Christ's fake rectifies their free-agency, helps their infirmities, and works in them both to will and to do of his good pleasure. And from the tenor of the scripture, as well as from the confent of all nations, and the dictates of conscience, it appears that part of God's good pleasure towards man is, that he shall remain invested with the awful power of chufing life or death, that his will shall never be forced, and consequently that overbearing, irrefiftible grace shall be banished to the land of Cole's fovereignty, together with free, absolute, unavoidable wrath.

Now,

thi

vin

his

hu

W

the

the

10 1

life

the

ear

bea

on

unt

504

ful

TH

FOI Ad

loo tho

for

loy

Suff

the

the

nef fer

the to

and

gra

Ha

or

2 your

rielt.

and

your

ries;

u get

nar-

with

fove-

iani-

vin's t re-

and vith-

lere

r for

all

s of

obe-

n to

our

reat

with

race

for

heir

o of

rip-

and

t of

ain

tly to

ee,

,716

Now, honored Sir, permit me to ask, Why does this doctrine alarm good men? Why are those divines deemed heretics who dare not divest God of his effential love, Emmanuel of his compassionate humanity, and man of his connatural free-agency? What are Dominicus and Calvin, when weighed in the balance against Moses and Jesus Christ? Hear the great Prophet of the Jews: I call beaven and earth to record this day against you, that I have set before you life and death, bleffing and curfing, heaven and hell, therefore chuse life that you may live. And he that hath ears not yet absolutely stopped by prejudice, let bim bear what the great prophet of the Christians fays upon the important queition. I am come that they might have life - all things are now ready - but ye will not come unto me that you might have life-I would have gathered you and you would not-Because I have called and ye refused, I will lough when your destruction cometh. For THAT they did not CHUSE the fear of the Lord, THERE-FORE shall they eat not the fruit of my decree or of Adam's fin, but of their own perverte way: they shall be filled with their own doings.

It these words of Moses and Jesus Christ are overlooked, should not at least the experience of near fix thousand years teach the world that God does not force rational beings, and that when he tries their loyalty, he does not obey for them, but gives them Sufficient grace to obey for themselves? Had not all the angels fufficient grace to obey? If some kept not their first estate, was it not thro' their own unfaithfulness? What evil has our Creator done us, or what fervice have devils rendered us, that we should fix the blot of Calvinian reprobation upon the former, to excuse the rebellion of the latter? Did not Adam and Eve stand some time by means of God's sufficient grace, and might they not have stood for ever? Have not unconverted men sufficient grace to forfake or complain of fome evil? To perform, or attempt

inh

eve

AL

the

ftit W

up

2201

if t

vic

ha

cit

m

25

va

if

of

ti

na

de

fa

11

h

11

W

t

fome good? Had not David fufficient grace to avoid the crimes into which he plunged? Have not believers fufficient power to do more good than they do? And does not the scripture address finners (Simon Magus not excepted) as having sufficient grace to pray for more grace, if they have not yet finned the sin unto death?

In opposition to the above-stated doctrine of grace free FOR all, as well as, free IN all, our Calvinian brethren affert that God binds his free grace, and keeps it from vifiting millions of finners whom they call reprobates.—They teach that man is not in a thate of probation, that his lot his absolutely cast, a certain little number of fouls being immoveably fixed in God's favor, in the midst of all their abominations; and a certain vast number under his eternal wrath, in the midit of their most fincere endeavours to fecure his favor. And their teachers maintain that the names of the former were written in the book of life, without any respect to foreseen repentance, faith and obedience; while the names of the latter were put in the book of death, (fo I call the decree of reprobation) merely for the fin of Adam, without any regard to perfonal impenitency, unbelief and disobedience. And this narrow grace and fiee wrath they recommend to the world under the engaging name of free grace.

This doctrine, dear Sir, we are in conscience bound to oppose, not only because it is the reverse of the other, which is both scriptural and rational; but because it is inseparably connected with doctrinal Antinomianism, as your fourth letter abundantly demonstrates; and above all, because it appears to us that it fixes a blot upon all the divine perfections. Please, honored, Sir, to consider the following que-

ries.

What becomes of God's goodness, if the tokens of it which he gives to millions are only intended to inhance

void be-

hey

(Si-

ace

the

ace

and

nev

a a

a ix-

na-

nal

urs

ain

ce,

ter

ut

th

ıg

ce

fe

al

2-

18

S.

-

f

0

e

inhance their ruin, or cast a deceitful veil over his everlasting wrath? - What of his mercy which is over ALL bis works, if millions were ever excluded from the least interest in it, by an absolute decree that constitutes them vessels of wrath from all eternity?---What becomes of his justice, if he sentences myriads upon myriads to everlasting fire, BECAUSE they have not believed on the name of his only begotten Son; when, if they had believed that he was their Jejus, their Saviour, they would have believed a monstrous lie, and claimed what they have no more right to than I have to the crown of England?—What of his veracity, and the oath he fwears that he willeth not the death of a finner; if he never affords most finners sufficient means of escaping eternal death? If he sends his amballadors to every creature, declaring that all things are now ready for their falvation, when nothing but Topbet is prepared of old for the inevitable destruction of a vast majority of them? What becomes of his bolines, if in order to condemn the reprobates with some show of justice, and secure the end of his decree of reprobation, which is that "millions shall absolutely be damned," he absolutely fixes the means of their damnation, that is, their fins and wickedness? -- What of his wisdom if he seriously expostulates with souls as dead as corpfes, and gravely urges to repentance and faith persons that can no more repent and believe, than fishes can speak and fing?—What becomes of his long-suffering, if he wants to have an opportunity of lending the reprobates into a deeper hell, and not to give them a longer time to fave themselves from this perverse generation? - What of his equity, if there was mercy for Adam and Eve, who perjonally breaking the edge of duty; wantonly rushed out of paradife into this howling wilderness; and yet there is no mercy for millions of their unfortunate children, who are born in a state of fin and misery without any personal choice, and consequently without any personal fin?—And what becomes of his omniscience, if he C 3

cannot foreknow future contingencies? If to foretell without a mistake that such a thing shall happen, he must do it himself? Was not Nero as wise in this respect? Could not he foretell that Phebe should not continue a virgin, when he was bent upon ravishing her? That Seneca should not die a natural death, when he had determined to have him murdered? And that Crispus should fall into a pit, if he obliged him to run a race at midnight in a place full of pits? And what old woman in the kingdom cannot precisely foretell that a filly tale shall be told at such an hour, if she is resolved to tell it herself, or at any rate to engage child to do it for her?

Again, What becomes of God's loving-kindnesses, which have been ever of old towards the children of men? And what of his impartiality, if most men, absolutely reprobated for the fin of Adam, are never placed in a state of personal trial and probation? Does not God use them far less kindly than devils, who were tried every one for himself, and remain in their diabolical state, because they brought it upon themselves by a personal choice? Astonishing! That the Son of God should have been flesh of the flesh, and bone of the bone of millions of men, whom, upon the Calvinian scheme, he never indulged to far as he did devils! What an hard hearted relation to myriads of his fellow-men, does Calvin represent our Lord? Suppose Satan had become our kinsmen by incarnation, and had by that mean got the right of redemption: would be not have acted like himself, if he had not only left the majority of them in the depth of the fall, but enhanced their mifery by the fight of his partiality to the little flock of the elect?

Once more, What becomes of fair dealing, if God every where represents fin as the dreadful evil which causes damnation, and yet the most horrid fins work for good to some, and as you intimate "accomplish their salvation thro' Christ?"—And what of bonessy, if the God of truth himself promises that all

has abfo tain of t

torritis rene reig pea wifa the the but ble

bef

bur

cre

for

6 d

. 0

In

P

the

he

his

ot

ng

1 ?

ed ?

ly

if

ge

35,

?

ly

in

od ed

al a

of

e

e

.

r

f

the families of the earth shall be bleffed in Christ, when he has curied a vast majority of them, with a decree of absolute reprobation, which excludes them from obtaining an interest in him, even from the foundation of the world?

Nay what becomes of his fovereignty itself, if it is torn from the mild and gracious attributes by which it is tempered? If it is held forth in such a light as renders it more terrible to millions, than the sovereignty of Nebuchadnezzar in the plain of Dura appeared to Daniel's companions, when the form of his wisage was changed against them, and he decreed that they should be cast into the burning stery surnace; for they might have saved their bodily life by bowing to the golden image which was a thing in their power; but poor reprobates can escape at no rate: the borrible decree is gone forth; they must, in spite of their best endeavours, dwell body and soul with everlasting burnings.

And let none fay that we wrong the Calvinian decree of reprobation, when we call it an berrible decree, for Calvin himself is honest enough to call it so, 'Unde factum est, tot gentes, una cum liberis corum ' infantibus æternæ morti (involveret lapfus Adæ ' absque remedio, nifi quia Deo ita visum est? - Decre-' tum quidem borribile, fateor: inficiare tamen nemo ' poterit, quin præsciverit Deus quem exitum habiturus esset homo, antequam ipium conderet, et 'ideo præsciverit quia decreto suo sic ordinarat.' That is, ' How comes it to pass that so many nations, together with their infant children, are by the fall of Adam involved in eternal death without reme-' dy, unless it is because God would have it so?-An horrible decree, I confess! Nevertheless nobody can deny that God foreknew what would be man's end before he created him, and that he foreknew 'it because he had ordered it by his decree.' Calv.

Inft. Book 3. Chap. 23. Sect. 7.

This is some of the contempt which Calvinism pours upon God's perfections: these are some of the

blots which it fixes upon his word.—But the mo. ment a man is confidered as a candidate for heaven, a probationer for a blifsful immortality—the moment you allow him what free grace bestows upon him, that is, a day of salvation, with a talent of living light and rectified free-agency, to enable him to work for life taithfully promised, as well as from life treely imparted—the moment, I fay, you allow this, all the divine perfections shine with unfullied lustre; and as reaion and majesty returned to Nebuchadnezzar after his shameful degradation, so consistency and native dignity are restored to the abused oracles of God.

Having thus shewn the inconsistency of Calvinism, and the reasonableness of what you call the Weslean, and what we esteem the Christian orthodoxy, (to far at least as it respects the gracious power and opportunity that man, as redeemed and prevented by Christ, has to "work for life" or to work out his own falvation) it is but just I should consider some of the most plausible objections which are urged

against our doctrine.

1. Obj. Your Weslean scheme pours more contempt upon the divine perfections than ours. What becomes of God's wisdom, if he gave his Son to die for all mankind, when he foreknew that most men

would never be benefitted by his death?

Anf. (1) God foreknew just the contrary: all men, even those who perish, are benefitted by Christ's death; for all enjoy thro' him a day of falvation, and a thousand blessings both spiritual and temporal; and if all do not enjoy heaven for ever, they may still thank God for his gracious offer, and take the blame upon themselves for their obstinate refusal of it. (2) God by reinstating all mankind in a state of probation, for ever shuts the mouth of those who chuse death in the error of their ways, and clears himself of their blood before men and angels, If he cannot eternally benefit unbelievers, he eternally vindicates his own adorable perfections. He can yar to a man ota a pala : uforbarray a lab O mana ar lay

fay rael thon life. 0701

mai not wif tion

2

fuff fati beli dif the pro tho eter wo

> a v not ban but ref fho po

bat

ou

tion

he

de and fto ac dif M

itr

fay to the most obstinate of all the reprobates, O If rael, thou hast destroyed thyself; In me was thy help, but thou wouldest not come unto me that thou might bave Thy destruction is not from my decree, but thine own determination.

2. Obj. If God wills all men to be faved, and yet many are damned, is he not disappointed? And does not his disappointment argue that he wants either wisdom to contrive the means of some men's falva-

tion or power to execute his gracious defign?

mo-

n, a

nent

ıım,

ight

life

art-

rine

rea-

fter

tive

lvi-

the

ho-

wer

ent-

out

ome

ged

on-

hat

die

nen

all

by va-

em-

ney

and

ate

in

ole

ears

he

ally

can

fay

Anf. (1) God's purpose is that all men shall have fufficient grace to believe according to their difpenfation that he subo believeth shall be faved, and he subo believeth not shall be damned. God cannot therefore be disappointed, even when man's free agency throws in the weight of final unbelief, and turns the scale of probation for death. (2) Altho' Christ is the author of a day of falvation to all, yet he is the author of eternal falvation to none but to fuch as obey him, by

working out their own falvation while it is day.

If you fay that "Supposing God wills the falvation of all, and none can be faved but the obedient, he should make all obey:" I reply, So he does, by a variety of gracious means, which perfuade but do not force them; for he fays himself, What could I have done more to my vineyard than I have done? "Oh but he should force all by the sovereign power of irrefistible grace." You might as well fay that he should renounce his wisdom, and defeat his own purpole: for if his wildom places men in a state of probation: the moment he forces them, he takes them out of that state and overturns his own counsel: he destroys the work of his hands: he unmans man, and faves him not as a rational creature; but as a flock or flone. Add to this, that forced obedience is a contradiction in terms; it is but another word for disobedience, at least in the account of him who fays My son, give me thy heart: obey me with an unconitrained, free, and chearful will. In a word, this many are willingly ignorant of, that when God fays, he wills all MEN to be faved, he wills them to be faved as MEN, according to his own method of falvation laid down in the above-mentioned scriptures, and not in their own way of wilful disobedience, or after Calvin's scheme of irrefistible grace,

3. Obj. "You may speak against irressible grace, but we are persuaded that nothing short of it is sufficient to make us believe; for St. John informs us that the Jews, towards whom it was not exerted,

COULD not believe."

fee."

Ans. (1.) Joseph said to his mistress How CAN I do this great wickedness! But this does not prove that he was not able to comply with her request if he had been so minded. The truth was, that some of the Pharifees had bury'd their talent, and therefore could not improve it; while others had so provoked God, that he had taken it from them: they had finned unto death. But most of them obstinately held that evil, which was an infurmountable hindrance to faith; and to them our Lord faid, How CAN ye believe, who receive bonor one of another! (2.) I wonder that modern predestinarians should make so much of this scripture, when St. Augustin their father, solves the feeming difficulty with the utmost readiness. 'If you ask me, says he, why the Jews could not be-· lieve: I quickly answer, Because they would not; 6 for God forefaw their evil will, and foretold it by the prophet; and if he blinded their eyes, their own wills deferved this alfo.' They obstinately faid "we will not fee," and God justly faid at last, "Ye shall not

4. Obj. You frequently mention the parable of the talents, but take care to fay nothing of the parable of the dry bones, which shews not only the absurdity of supposing that man can work for life, but the propriety of expostulating with souls as void of all spiritual life, as the dry bones to which Ezekiel prophesied.

Anf.

3,0

fta

Je

ic

no

CO

G

ki

bo

w

cri

of

th

10/1

CO

fie

mi

ed

ter

dic

on

of

tha

op:

out

wh

in

der

cap

ter

and

refi

the

in

the

Die

bur

ys,

red

aid

jn

in's

ice,

ut-

us

ed,

do

hat

he

of

ked

ned

hat

to

ve,

nat

his

the

If

be-

ot;

by

wn

we

not

of

ra-

ur-

the

all

ro-

nf.

Anf. If you read that parable without comment, you will fee that it is not descriptive of the scriptural flate of fouls, but of the political condition of the Jews during their captivity in Babylon. They were scattered throughout Chaldea as dry bones in a valley; nor was there any human probability of their being collected to form against a political body. Therefore God to chear their desponding hearts, favoured Ezekiel with the vision of the resurrection of the dry (2.) This vision proves just the reverse of bones. what some imagine. For the dry bones are thus defcribed by God himself. These bones are the whole house of Ifrael. Behold, they fay, (this was the language of their despairing minds) our bones are dried, our bope is lost, we are cut off for our parts. Here these Israelites are compared to dry bones, even before Ezekiel prophefied, and the Spirit entered into them, knew their milery, and complained of it faying, Our bones are dried up. How far then were they from being as infentible as corpfes? (3.) The prophecy to the dry bones did not confift in threatnings and exhortations: it was only of the declarative kind. Nor was the promife of their refurrection fulfilled in the Calvinian way, that is irrefiftible. For altho' God had faid, I will open your graves, that is, your prisons, and bring you out of them into your land; we find that multitudes when their graves were opened, chose to continue in them. For when Nehemiah and Ezra breathed, under God, courage in the dry bones, the Jewish captives dispersed throughout Chaldea, many preferred the land of their captivity to their own land, and refused to return: so that after all, their political returrection turned upon their own choice.

buried, Come forth?

Anf. If Christ had called Lazarus out of the grave without giving him power to come forth, his friends would have had some reason to suspect that be was be. fides bimfilf. How much more, if they had heard him call a thousand corpses out of their graves, denouncing to all, that if they did not rife they should be cast into a lake of fire, and eaten up by a worm that dieth not! It is matter of fact that Christ never commanded but one dead man to come out of the grave; and the instant he gave him the command, he gave him also power to obey it. Hence we conclude that as the Lord commands all men every subere to repent, he gives them all power fo to do. But fome Calvinists argue just the reverse. Christ, say they, called one corpie without using any intreaty, threatning or promile, and he gave it power to obey; therefore when he calls an hundred dead fouls, and inforces his calls with the greatest variety of expostulations, threatnings and promifes, he gives power to obey only to two or three. What an interence is this! How worthy of the cause which it supports!

In how contemptible a light does our Lord appear if he fays to fouls as dead as Lazarus in the grave, All the day long have I stretched out my hands unto you. Turn ye: Why will ye die? Let the wicked for sake his way, and I will have mercy upon him: But if he will not turn, I will whet my sword, I have bent my how and made it ready; I have also prepared for him the instru-

ments of death?

I once faw a passionate man unmercifully beating and damning a blind horse, because he did not take to the way in which he would have him go; and I came up just when the poor animal sell a lamed victim to its driver's madness. How did I upbraid him with his cruelty, and charge him with uparallelled extravagance! But I now ask if it is not more than parallelled by the conduct of the imaginary being, whom some recommend to the world as a wife and merciful God. For the besorted driver for some minutes expostulated

211

tu

ai

bu

ho

m

tu

26

he

W

th

bo

in

wl

Sh

be

it

pie

pa

tul

fig

is

tru

jec

cor

res

pel

tho

66 1

the

TC

ds

e-

rd

e-

ild

at

n-

2;

ve

at

he

ills

ne

ru-

CH

ills

at-

to

UW

ip-

ve,

ou.

bis

vill and

ru-

ing

ike

dI

im

ith

va-

led

me

od.

ted

In

in his way with a living tho' blind horse; but the supposed Maker of the Calvinian decrees expostulates all the day long with souls not only as blind as beetles, but as dead as corpses. Again, the former had some hopes of prevailing with his living beast to turn; but what hopes can the latter have to prevail with dead corpses, or with souls as dead as they? What man in his senses ever attempted to make a corpse turn, by threatning it sword in hand, or by bending the bow and levelling an arrow at its cold and putrid heart?

But suppose the resurrection of Lazarus, and that of the dry bones, did not overthrow Calvinism, would it be reasonable to lay so much stress upon them? Is a dead soul in every respect like a dead body; and is moral absolutely like natural death? Can a parabolical vision wrested from its obvious meaning, superfede the plainest declarations of Christ, who personally addresses sinners as free agents? Should not metaphors, comparisons, and parables be suffered to walk erect like reasonable men? Is it right to make them go upon all four like the stupid ox? What loads of heterodoxy have degraded parables brought into the church! And how successfully has error carried on her trade, by dealing in sigurative expressions taken in a literal sense!

This is my body, fays Christ. "Therefore bread is flesh, fays the Papist, and transubstantiation is true."—These dry-bones are the bouse of Israel, says the Lord. "Therefore Calvinism is true, say my objectors, and we can do no more towards our conversion, than dry bones towards their resurrection."—Lost sinners are represented in the gospel as a lost piece of silver: Therefore, says the author of Pietas Oxoniensis, they can no more seek God, than the piece could seek the woman who had lost it.—Christ is the Son of God, says St. Peter: "Therefore, says Arius, he is not co-eternal with the Father, for I am not so old as my parents."

And I, who have a right to be as wise as any of them, hearing our Lord tay that the seven churches are seven candlesticks, prove by it that the seven churches can no more repent than three pair and a half of candlesticks, or if you please, seven pair of snuffers. And shall we pretend to overthrow the general tenor of the scripture by such conclusions as these! Shall not rather unprejudiced persons of every denomination, agree to turn such arguments out of the Christian church, with as much indignation as Christ turned the oxen out of the Jewish temple!

Permit me honored Sir, to give you two or three instances more, of an undue stretching of some particular words, for the support of some Calvinian errors. According to the oriental stile a follower of wisdom is called a fon of avisdom, and one that deviates from her paths a fon of folly. By the same mode of speech, a wicked man, considered as wicked, is called Satan, a son of Belial, a child of the wicked one, and a child of the devil. On the other hand a man who turns from the devil's works, and does the works of God by believing in him, is called a child, or a fon of God. Hence the passing from the ways of Satan to the ways of God, was naturally called conversion and new birth, as implying a turning from fin, a passing into the family of God, and being numbered among the godly.

Hence fome divines, who, like Nicodemus, carnalize the expressions of new birth, child of God, and fon of God, assert that if men who once walked in God's ways, turn back even into adultery, murder, and incest, they are still God's dear people and pleafant children, in the gospel-sense of the words. They ask, "Can a man be a child of God to-day, and a "child of the devil to-morrow? Can he be born this week, and unborn the next?" And with these questions they as much think they have overthrown the doctrine of holiness and one half of the bible, as honest Nicodemus supposed he had demo-

lished

ŀ

t

r

1

0

II

fa

tl

fo

m

de

200

61

ba

lished the doctrine of regeneration, and stopped our Lord's mouth, when he said, Can a man enter a second

time into his mother's avomb and be born?

of

re

es

n-

rs.

ral

e!

e-

he

ift

ree

ır-

er-

10

Vi-

ne

ed,

ked

da

he

ld,

ays

led

om

ing

ar.

and

in

ler,

lea-

hey

da

orn

ith

er-

the

no-

hed

The questions of our brethren would be easily anfwered, if fetting afide the oriental mode of speech, they fimply asked, " May one who has ceased to do evil, and learned to do well to-day; cease to do well, and learn to do evil to-morrow?" To this we could directly reply: If the dying thief, the Phillippian jailor, and multitudes of Jews, in one day went over from the fons of folly to the fons of wifdom; where is the abfurdity of faying they could measure the fame way back again in one day; and draw back into the horrid womb of fin as eafily as Satan drew back into rebellion, Adam into disobedience, David into adultery, Solomon into idolatry, Judas into treason, and Ananias and Sapphira into covetousness? When Peter had shown himself a blessed son of heavenly wisdom, by confessing Jesus Christ; did he even stay till the next day to become a son of folly by following the wisdom which is earthly, senfual, devilish? Was not our Lord directly obliged to rebuke him with the utmost severity, by saying, SATAN? Get thee behind me.

Multitudes, who live in open fin, build their hopes of heaven upon a fimilar mistake, I mean upon the unscriptural idea which they fix to the scriptural word speep. "Once I heard the shepherd's voice, says one of these Laodicean souls; I followed him, and therefore I was one of his sheep; and now, tho' I follow the voice of a stranger who leads me into all manner of fins, into adultery and murder, I am undoubtedly a sheep still; for it was never heard that a sheep became a goat." Such persons do not observe, that our Lord calls sheep those who hear his voice, and goats those who follow that of the tempter, Nor do they consider that if Saul, a grievous wolf breathing slaughter against Christ's sheep, and making havock of his little slock, could in a short time be changed

D 2

both into a sheep and a shepherd: David, an harmless sheep, could in as short a time commence a goat with Bathsheba, and prove a wolf in sheep's cloathing to her husband.

Pardon me, honoured Sir, if to make my mistaken brethren ashamed of their argument, I dedicate to them the following foliloquy, wherein I reason upon their own plan. 'Those very Jews whom the baptist and our Lord call'd a brood of wipers, and ser-

di

far

She

ch

to

ex

ma

yo

ten

ha

ne

t10

roc

wa

yeu

pro

to

tru

vai

you

me

fibl

the

out

vai

just

pents, were foon after compared to chickens, which

Christ wanted to gather as a hen does her brood. What a wonderful change was here! The vipers became

chickens! Now as it was never heard that chickens became vipers, I conclude that those Jews, even

when they came about our Lord like fat bulls of

Basan, like ramping and roaring lions, were true chickens still. And indeed why should not they

have been as true chickens, as David was a true sheep when he murdered Uriah? I abhor the doctrine

which maintains that a man may be a chick or a feep to-day, and a viper or a goat to-morrow.

'But I am a little embarras'd. If none go to hell but goats, and none to heaven but sheep, where

fhall the chickens go? Where the avolves in sheep's

cloathing? And in what limbus of heaven or hell fhall we put that for Herod, the dogs who return to

their vomit, and the swine, before whom we must

not cast our pearls? Are they all species of goats, or

fome particular kind of sheep?

'My difficulties increase. The church is called a dove, and Ephraim a filly dove? Shall the filly dove be admitted among the sheep? Her case seems ra-

ther doubtful. The hair of the spouse in the can-

ticles is likewise said to be like a flock of goats, and Christ's shapperds are represented as finding hide or

'Christ's shepherds are represented as feeding kids, or young goats besides their tents. I wonder if those young

goats became young sheep, or if they were all doom'd to continue reprobates! But what puzzles

6 me most, is that the Babylonians are in the same verse

e verse compar'd to lambs, rams, and goats: Were they mongrel elect, or mongrel reprobates, or some

of Elisha Cole's spiritual monsters, in whom the spi-

rit had begotten a lump of dead flesh?

12 -

at

ng

en

to

p-

he

r.

ch

at

ne

ens

en

of

ue

ey

cep

ne

ra

ell

ere

p's ell

n to

ust, or

da

ove

ra-

an-

or

ung

zles

erse

I make this ridiculous foliloquy to shew the absurdity and danger of resting weighty doctrines upon so fandy a foundation as the particular sense which some good men give to a sew scriptural expressions stretch'd and abused on the rack of my countryman Calvin; especially such expressions as these, a child of God, a

sheep, a goat, and above all, the dead in fin.

Upon this last expression you feem, honoured Sir, chiefly to place the merit of your cause with respect to "working for life;" witness the following words: "That we are to work for life is an affertion most exceedingly felt-contradictory, if it be a truth that man is dead in trespasses and fins." Had you given yourfelf the trouble of reading with any degree of attention the 42d page of the vindication, you would have feen your difficulty propos'd and folv'd: Witness the following words which conclude the folution: " In this scriptural view of free-grace, what room is there for the ridiculous cavil, that Mr. W. wants the dead to work for life." Had I been in your place I confess, honoured Sir, I could not have produc'd that cavil again, without attempting at least to wipe off the ridicule put upon it. I should think truth has better weapons to defend herself than a vail. I grant that the reverend Divine whose second you are, has publickly cast a vail over all my arguments, under the name of mistakes: but could you posfibly think that his vail was thick enough to cover them from the eyes of unprejudiced readers, and palhate your answering, or seeming to answer me without taking notice of my arguments? But if you cast a vail over them, I shall now endeavour to do your's justice and clear the matter a little farther.

I. Availing yourfelf of St. Paul's words to the Ephefians and Colossians, You bath be quickened, who

D 3

were dead in trespasses and sins, and you being dead in your fins hath he quickened together with him; you dwell upon the absurdity of "expecting living actions from a dead corpse," or living works from a dead soul.

ir

11

t/

if

C

D

tr

d

n

de

b

tl

de

ei

th

W

G

b

fi

fe

tl

(t) I wonder at the partiality of some persons. If we assert that strong believers are DEAD to fin, they tell us very properly that such are not so dead but they may commit sin if they please, or if they are off their watch: But if we say that many who are DEAD in fin, are not so dead but in the strength imparted together with the light that enlightens every man, they may leave off some of their sins if they please, we are exclaim'd against as using metaphysical distinctions, and dead must absolutely mean impotent as a corpse.

(2) The word dead, &c. is frequently used in the fcriptures to denote a particular degree of helplefiness and inactivity very short of the total helplessness of a corpse. We read of the DEADNESS of Sarab's womb, and of Abraham's body being DEAD, and he must be a strong Calvinist indeed, who, from such expressions, peremptorily afferts, that Sarah's DEAD womb was as unfit for conception, and Abraham's DEAD body for generation, as if they both had been "DEAD corpfes." Christ writes to the church of Sardis, I know thy quorks; thou hast a name to live, and art DEAD: but it is evident that dead as they were fomething remained alive in them, tho' like the smoaking flax, it was ready to die: Witness the words that follow, be watchful, and strengthen the things which remain, that are ready to Now Sir, if the dead Sardians could "work for life," by firengthening the things belonging to the Christian which remained in them; is it modest to decide e cathedra, that the dead Ephefians and Colossians could not as well work for life by firengthning the things that remained and quere ready to die under THEIR OWN dispensation? Is it not evident that a beam of the light of the world still shone in their hearts, or that the Spirit still strove with them? If they had absolutely quench'd bim, would he have helped them to believe? And if they had not, was not there something thing of the light which enlightens every man remaining in them; with which they both could, and did work

for life, as well as the dead Sardians?

in

rou

cti-

ead

If

hey

but

off

AD

hey

are

ns,

le.

the

ness f a

mb,

be a

ons,

s as

ge-

thy

it it

ined

rea-

ful,

ly to

tor

the to

Co-

ning

nder

it a

irte,

had nem mening

(3.) The abfurdity of always measuring the meaning of the word dead by the idea of a dead corple, appears from feveral other fcriptures. St. Paul fpeaking of one who grows wanton against Christ, fays, she that liveth in pleasure is DEAD while she liveth. Now if this means that she is entirely devoid of every degree of spiritual life, what becomes of Calvinism? Suppose all that live in pleasure are as dead to God as corpfes; what became of the everlafting life of Lot, when he liv'd in pleasure with his daughters? Of David with Bathsheba, and Solomon with his idolatrous wives? When the same apostle observes to the Romans that their body was DEAD because of fin, did he really mean they were already dead corpfes? And when he adds, fin revived and I DIED, did Calvinian death really pass upon him? Dead as he was, could not he complain like the dry bones, and ask, who shall deliver me from this body of death? Again, when our Lord fays to Martha, he that BELIEVETH in me, tho be were DEAD yet shall be LIVE, does he not intimate that there is a work confistent with the degree of death of which he speaks? A believing out of death into life? A doing the work of God for life, yea for eternal life?

(4) From these and the like scriptures it is evident that there are different degrees of spiritual death, which you perpetually consound. (1.) Total death, or a full departure of the Holy Spirit. This pass'd upon Adam, and all mankind in him, when he lost God's moral image, fell into selfish nature, and was buried in sin, guilt, shame and horror. (2.) Death freely visited with a feed of life in our fallen representative, and of course in all his posterity, during the day of their visitation. (3.) Death oppressing this living seed, and bolding it in unrighteousness, which was the death of the Ephesians and Colossians.

(4) Death prevailing again over the living seed, after it had been powerfully quickened, and burying it in sin and wickedness. This was the death of David during his apostacy, and is still that of all who once believed, but now live in Laodicean ease or Sardian pleasure. And (5.) the death of confirmed apostates, who, by absolutely quenching the spirit of life in Christ Jesus the 2d Adam, are fallen into the miserable state of nature and total helplessiness in which the first Adam was, when God preached to him the gospel of his quickening grace. These are said by St. James to be twice dead; dead by Adam's total apostacy from God, and dead by their own personal and final apostacy from the light of the world.

II. The foundation of the Crispian Babel is literally laid in confusion. When you have confounded all the degrees of spiritual death, we may naturally expect to see you confound all the degrees of spiritual life, which our Lord meant when he said, I am come that they may have life, and that they may have it more abundantly. "All that are quickened, do you say, are pardoned and justified." As if a man could not be quickened to see his sus and reform, before he is quickened to believe in Christ as to receive the pardon and justification mentioned Col. ii, 13, and

If you read the scriptures without prejudice, you will see that there are several degrees of spiritual life or quickening power. (1.) The living light which shines in the darkness of every man, during the day of his visitation. (2.) The life of the returning sinner, whether he has always lived in open sin as the publican, or once walked in the ways of God as David. (3.) The life of the heathen, who like Cornelius sears God and works righteousness according to his light, and is accepted in his dispensation. (4.) The life of the pious sew, who like Samuel sears God from his youth. This degree of life is far superior to the preceding, being cherished by the traditions of the patriarchs,

the property of (6.) or I of ( abrobape

tify

of f show vin mei of t Bat of i God Ch of a men CU01 Th life VIO con of G ing

mit

wh

to t

acci

(

ter

in

vid

ice

an

es,

R

ite

rft

el

ies

m

1-10

te-

ed

lly

ial

me

ore

y,

ıld

he

he

nd

ou

ite

ch

of

er, li-

id.

275

nd

he

g,

ns,

the books of the old testament, the sacraments, priests, prophets, temple, sabbaths, sacrifices, and other means of grace belonging to the Jewish economy.

(5.) The life of the seeble Christian or disciple of John, who is baptized with swater unto repentance for the remission of sins, and believing in the Lamb of God immediately pointed out to him enjoys the bleshings of the primitive Christians before the day of Penticost. And (6.) The still more abundant life, the life of the adult or perfect Christian, imparted to him when the love of God, or power from on high, is plentifully shed abroad in his believing soul, on the day that Christ baptizes him with the Holy Ghost and with fire, to sanctify him wholly and seal him unto the day of redemption.

III. When you have overlooked all the degrees of spiritual death and life, what wonder is it that you should confound all the degrees of acceptance and divine favour, with which God blesses the children of men. Permit me honored Sir to bring also this article of the Christian faith out of the Calvinian tower of Babel, where it has too long been detained.

(1.) I have already proved, that, in consequence of the love of benevolence and pity, with which God loved the world, and through the propitiation which Christ made for the sins of the whole world, the five gift of an accepted time and a day of falvation came upon all men. In this sense they are all accepted, and sent to work in the vineyard of their respective dispensations. This degree of acceptance, with the seed of light, life and power that accompanies it, is certainly previous to any work; and in virtue of it infants and compleat idiots go to heaven, for of such is the kingdom of God. As they are not capable of burying or improving their talent of inferior acceptance, they are admitted with it to an inferior degree of glory.

(2.) While many abandoned Heathens, and those who follow their abominable ways bury their talent to the last, and lose it together with the degree of acceptance, they once enjoyed in or through the

Beloved; fome, by improving it, are accepted in an higher manner, and like Cornelius receive tokens of increasing favour. The love of pity, and benevolence which God bore them, is now mixed with some love of complacence and delight.

(3.) Faithful Jews, are those who are under their dispensation, improving a superior number of talents, are accepted in a superior manner, and as a token of it they are made rulers over five cities, they partake of greater grace here, and greater glory hereaster.

(4.) John the Baptist, and his disciples, I mean, Christians who have not yet been baptized with the Holy Ghost, and with fire, are yet more highly accepted; for John, and the souls who live up to the height of his dispensation, are great in the fight and favour of the Lord. They exceed all those who attain onl, to the persection of inferior economies.

(5.) But those Christians, who live in the kingdom of God, which was opened to believers on the day of pentecost, whose heart burns with his love, and slames with his glory, are accepted in a still higher degree; for our Lord informs us, that great as John himself was, the least in the kingdom of God is greater than he, and as a token of superior acceptance he shall be made ruler over ten cities: he shall enter more deeply into the joy and glory of his Lord.

Altho' concurrence with grace given, is necessary in order to these four last degrees of acceptance, none enjoys them but in and thro' the beloved; for as his blood is the meritorious spring of all our pardons, so his Spirit is the inexhaustable fountain of all our graces. Nor are we less indebted to him for power to be workers together with God in the great business of our salvation, than for all the other wonders of his

unmerited goodness and redeeming love.

Let no body fay that the doctrine of these degrees of acceptance is sounded upon metaphysical distinctions, and exceeds the capacity of simple Christians; for a child ten years old understands that he may be accepted

acce ceive a da and thei cont Bab

1 you the tour justi univ the t demi gift tron thei day justi of ( that bim/ deat in tl teou

faith on he sion. be si bave

(:

ing,

forth long men fied accepted to run a race, before he is accepted to receive the prize; and that a man may be accepted a day labourer, and not as a fervant; be as a fleward, and not as a child, as a friend and not as a spouse. All these degrees of acceptance are very distinct, and the confusion of them evidently belongs to the Calvinian

n an

ns of

evo.

fome

their ents,

en of

ke of

nean,

1 the

ac-

o the

o at-

ing-

n the

love,

ftill

great

od is

ance

enter

ryin

none

s his

s, 10

our

ower

is of

f his

grees

tinc-

ans;

y be

pted

IV. As we have confidered three of the walls of your tower; it will not be amifs to cast a look upon the fourth, which is the utterly confounding of the four degrees that make up a glorified faint's eternal justification. (1.) That which passes upon all infants universally, and is thus described by St. Paul, As by the offence of one judgment came upon ALL men to condemnation; even so by the righteousness of one, the free gift came upon ALL men, unto present justification from original fin, and future justification of life upon their repenting, and believing in the light, during the day of vifitation. In confequence of this degree of justification we may, without impeaching the veracity of God, lay to every creature, God fo loved the world, that be gave his only begotten son, to reconcile them unto himself, not imputing to them original fin unto eternal death, and blotting out their personal transgressions in the moment they believe with the heart unto righteousness.

(2.) The justification consequent upon such believing, is thus described by St. Paul. This bleffing of faith imputed for righteousness shall be ours, if we believe on him that was raised from the dead for our justification.-We have believed in Jefus Christ; that we might be JUSTIFIED by the faith of Christ, and not by the works of the law.—Therefore being justified by faith we bave peace with God thro' our Lord Jesus Christ, &c.

(3.) The jultification confequent upon bringing forth the fruit of a lively faith in the truths that belong to our dispensation; this justification is thus mentioned by St. James. Rabab the harlot was justified by works.—Abraham our father was justified by

works.

works. - Ye fee then bow by works a man is justified and

Eva

wh

hu

rifi

thi

all

are

firf

ami

vai

and

par

per

diff

the

fold

folu

to f

orde

unfe

wor

ture

tide H which their

you

I.

66 CO

" m

es of

se ed

ultifi

F

not by faith only.

And (4.) final justification is thus afferted by our Lord and St. Paul. In the day of judgment by thy words shalt thou be justified, and by thy words shalt thou be condemned. Circumcifion and uncircumcifion avail nothing, but the keeping of the commandments, for the

doers of the law shall be justified.\*

All these degrees of justification are equally merited by Christ. We do nothing in order to the first, because it finds us in a state of total death. Towards the second we believe by the power freely given us in the first, and by the additional help of Christ's word and the Spirit's agency. We work by faith in order to the third. And we continue believing in Christ and working together with God, as we have opportunity, in order to the fourth.

The preaching distinctly these four degrees of a glorified saint's eternal justification is attended with peculiar advantages. The first justification engages the sinner's attention, encourages his hope, and draws his heart by love.—The second wounds the self-righteous Pharisee, who works without believing, while it binds up the heart of the returning publican, who has no plea but God be merciful to me a sinner.—The third detects the hypocrify and blasts the vain hopes of all Antinomians, who instead of shewing their faith by their works, deny in works the Lord

\* These four degrees of a glorified saint's eternal justification are mentioned in the preceding Checks, tho' not so distinctly as they are here. If treating of our present justification by faith, and of justification by works in the day of judgment, I have called them our "first and second justification," it was not to exclude the other two, but to attack gradually reigning prejudice, and accomodate myself to the language of my honored opponent, who called instification in the day of judgment a second instification. I should have been more exact at first; but I was so intent in demonstrating the thing, that I did not think then of contending for the most proper name. Nor did I see then of what importance it is, to drag the monster error out of the den of confusion in which he hides himself.

that bought them, and put him to an open skame.—And while the fourth makes even a Felix tremble, it causes believers to pass the time of their sojourning here in

humble fear and chearful watchfulnefs.

ind

our

thy

10%

vail

the

ited.

be-

ards

9 in

rord

rder

and

nity,

of a

with

rages

and

the

liev-

pub-

a fin-

s the

hew-

Lord

that

on are

as they and of

d them

de the

como-

d have

ing the

proper

rag the imfelf.

Tho' all these degrees of justification meet in glorified faints, we offer violence to fcripture if we think with Dr. Crifp that they are inseparable. For all the wicked who quench the convincing Spirit, and are finally given up to a reprobate mind, fall from the first, as well as Pharaoh. All who receive the feed among thorns, all who do not forgive their fellow fervants, all who begin in the spirit and end in the fiesh; and all who draw back and become fons or daughters of perdition, by falling from the third, lofe the fecond, as Hymeneus, Philetus, and Demas. And none partake of the fourth but those who bear fruit unto perfection according to one or another of the divine dispensations; some producing thirty-fold like Heathens, some fixty-fold like Jews, and some an bunded fold like Christians.

From the whole it appears that altho' we can abfolutely do nothing towards our first justification, yet
to say that neither faith nor works are required in
order to the other three, is one of the boldest, most
unscriptural, and most dangerous affertions in the
world; which sets aside the best half of the scriptures, and lets gross Antinomianism, come in sulf

tide upon the church.

Having thus taken a view of the confusion in which Calvin and Crisp have laid the foundation of their schemes, I return to the arguments by which

you support their mistakes.

I. "If you suppose, do you say, that there are any conditional works before justification, these works must either be the works of one who is in a state of nature, or in a state of grace, either condemned by the law or absolved by the gospel."

A new fophism this! No works are previous to justification from original fin, and to the quickning -

high

light which enlightens every manthat comes into the world. And the works that a penitent does in order to the subsequent justifications, such as ceasing to do evil, learning to do well, repenting, believing, and pertevering in obedient faith, are all done in a state of initial, progressive, or perfected grace; not under the Adamic law which did not admit of repentance, but under the gospel of Christ which says, Let the wicked for sake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts, and let him return unto the Lord who will abundantly pardon his sins, cleanse him from all unrighteousness, and even fill him with the fulness of God.

II. You proceed: if a man in a state of nature does works in order to justification, they "cannot "please God because he is in a state of utter enougy against him." What Sir, do you think, that a man "in a state of utter enough against God" will do any thing in order to recover his savour? When Adam was in that state, did he so much as once ask pardon? If he had, would he not have evidenced a desire of reconciliation, and consequently a degree of apostacy short of what you call utter enough?

III. You quote scripture: "He that does something in order to justification cannot please God, because he is alienated from the life of God, thro' the ignorance that is in him, because of the blindness of his heart." An unhappy quotation this: for the apostle did not speak these words of those honest Heathens who, in obedience to the light of the world, did something in order to justification; but of those abundoned Pagans, who, as he observes in the next verte, being past feeling, had given themselves over unto lasciviousness, to work all uncleanness with greediness. Thus to prove that men have not a talent of to power work the works of God, you produce men who have buried it, that they might work all uncleanness without control, yea with greediness.

You would have avoided this mistake, if you had considered that the Heathens mentioned there by St.

Pau!,

P

6

2

C.

CI

cl

ch

bi

TU

ZU

the

fio

boj Da

bit

out

WII

he

Ep

Chi

VOU

eve

tire

bad

lute

tatie

wer

his'

that

ther

Paul, were of the stamp of those whom he describes, Rom. 1. and whom he represents as given up by God to a reprobated mind, BECAUSE when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, and did not like to retain him in their knowledge. Here we may observe (that those reprobate Heathens had once some knowledge of God, and of course some life; for this is eternal LIFE to KNOW God. (2.) That if they were given up, BECAUSE shey did not use that talent of divine knowledge, it was not because they were eternally and unconditionally reprobated; whence I beg leave to conclude, that if eternal unconditional reprobation is a mere chimera, so is likewise eternal unconditional

election,

rld.

the

vil,

er-

of

ider

ice,

the

bis

will

ture

mot

nity

at a

will

hen

e alk

ed a

ee of

ome-

, be-

igno-

art."

d not

0, 10

ng m

gans, feel-

querk

that

rks of

they

a quith

u bad

by St.

Paul,

You might have objected with much more plaufibility, that when the Ephefianswere in the flesh they were without bote, without Christ, and without God in the avorld: And if you had, I would have replied that these words cannot be taken in their full latitude, for the following reasons, which appear to me unanswerable. (1.) The Ephesians before their converhon were not totally without hope, but without a Good hope. They probably had as prefumptuous a hope as David in Uriah's bed, or Agag when he thought the buternels of death was past. (2.) They evere without Chrift, just as a man who has buried his talent is without it. But as he may dig it up, and use it, it he fees his folly in time; fo could, and fo did the Ephefians. (3.) If they were in every fense without Christ, what becomes of the doctrine maintained in your fourth letter, that they " were for ever and for ever compleat in Christ?" (4.) They were not entirely without God; for in him they lived, moved, and bad their being; nor were they without him as absolute reprobates, for they knew the day of their wifttation before it was over. It remains then that they were suithout God, as the prodigal fon was without his father, when he fed swine in the far country; and that they could and did return to their heavenly Father as well as he.

E 2

IV. You

IV. You go on: "He who does formething in "order to justification, not being grafted in Christ "the true vine, cannot bring forth any good fruit; he can do nothing at all." I beg, Sir you would produce one man, who has not finned the fin unto death, that can absolutely do nothing, that cannot cease from one fin, and take up the practice of one duty. You will as foon find a faint in hell as such a man upon earth. Even those who in their wolantary bumility say perpetually, that "they can do nothing," reture their own doctrine by their very consessions; for he who consesses his helplessines, undoubtedly does something, unless by some new rule in logic it can be demonstrated, that contessing our imporence, and complaining of our misery, is "doing nothing."

When our Lord fays, Without me je can do nothing, does he fay that we are totally without him? When he declares that no man cometh unto him unless the Father draw him, does he infinuate that the Father does not draw all? or that he draws all irrefishibly? or that those who are drawn at one time may not draw back at any other? Is it right to press scripture into the service of a system, by straining its meaning so

far beyond the import of the words?

Again, though a man may not be "grafted in Christ" according to the Jewish or Christian dispensation; may he not partake of his quickening sap, according to the more general dispensation of that saving grace, which bas appeared to all men? May not the branches in which that saving grace appears, have some connexion with Christ the heavenly vine, and bring forth truit meet for repentance, as well as Job and his friends, Melchisedec, Plato, the wise men, Cornelius, some of his soldiers, and many more who brought forth fruits according to their dispensation? Does not the first general justification so graft all men in him, that if they bear not fruit during their accepted time, they are justly taken away, cast forth, and burned as barren branches?

V. Your

3.5

adi

ear

to

To

25

co:

a ]

We

ine

duc

Chi

mai

mig

bar

hatt

mar

men

whe

the

if th

duit

page

tectt

84 II

64 li

V. Your knowledge of the scripture made you forefee this answer, and to obviate it you say: " if you " tell me that I mistake, that although we must cease from evil, repent, &c. yet you are far from sup-44 posing we can perform these things in our own " natural strength, I ask then, in whose strength " are they performed? You fay, in the strength of " Christ, and by the power of the Holy Ghost, ac-" cording to these scriptures, I can do all things through Christ Arengthening me, being Arengthened " with might in the inner man.

III

riit

it;

uld.

th,

OliL

ou

oon

Lily

ute

he:

loes

can

and

ing,

hen

Fa-

does

or

raw

into

g to

d in

pen-

fap,

that

May

cars

vine,

ell as

wife

more

fpen-

graft

uring

, caft

Your.

Permit me to tell you, honored Sir, that I do not admire your quoting scripture for me. You take care to keep out of light the passages I have quoted, to produce those which are foreign to the question. To shew that even a sinful Heathen may work for, as well as from life, I could never be so destitute of common fense as to urge the experience of St. Paul, a father in Christ: and that of the Ephesians, who were Christians scaled unto the day of redemption.

To do jultice to free grace, instead of the abovementioned improper scriptures, you should have produced those which I have quoted in the vindication— Christ is the light of the world, which enlightens every man that cometh into the world-I am come that they might have life - Te will not come unto me that ye might have life. The grace of God which bringeth falvation hath appeared unto all men, God's spirit strives with man, even with those who perish. He commands all men every aubere to repent; nor does he defire to reap

where he has not fown. VI. Such scriptures as these would have been to

the purpose; but I excuse your producing others; for if these had appeared, you would have raised more dust in fix lines, than you could have laid in 60 pages; and every attentive reader would have detected the fallacy of your grand argument: " as foon " may we exped living actions from a dead corple;

" light out of darkness; fight out of blindness; love E 3

"out of enmity: wisdom out of ignerance; fruit out of barrenness, &c. &c. &c. as look for any one good work or thought from a foul who is not? (in some degree) "quickened by the Holy Ghost, and "who has not yet sound savor with God:" so far at least as to be blessed with a day of salvation, and to be a partaker of the free gift which is come upon all men.

But, I pray, who is guilty of these abfurdities? who expects living actions from a dead corple, &c. &c.? you or we? You who believe that the greatest part of mankind are left as gracelefs as devils, as helplefs as corpfes; and yet gravely go and preach to them repentance and faith, threatening them with an aggravated damnation if they do not turn? Or we who believe that Christ by the grace of God tasted death for every man; and that his faving, quickening grace hath appeared unto all men? Who puts foolish speeches in the mouth of the only wife God? You, who make him expostulate with fouls as dead as corpies, and say ye will not come unto me that ye might have life? Or we, who affert upon the testimony of the Holy Ghort, that God, by working in us both to will and to do, puts us again in a capacity of working cut our falvation with fear and trembling? Will not our impartial readers fee that the abfurdity which you try to fix upon us, falls at your own door; and, if your destrine is true, at the door of the fanctuary itself?

VII. You pursue: "it is most clear that every soul "who works in the strength of Christ, and by the power of the Holy Ghost, is already a pardoned and justified soul; he already has everlasting life." Here is some truth and some error; let us endeavour to separate them. Every soul who works in the strength of Christ's preventing grace, and by his spirit convincing the world of sia, is undoubtedly interested in the first degree of justification: he is justified from the guilt of original sin, and from the guilt of his own actual sins; and it is absurd to suppose he is justified in the day of judgment, when that day is

no

CC

ta

11

th

in

On

of

ap

66

th

w

the

66

66

COL

no

bel

ap

dit

thi

in Pa

eve

aw:

you

tor

not yet come. He hath a feed of life, or else he could not work; but it is a doubt if this feed will take root; and in case it does, the heavenly plant of righteousness may be choaked by the cares of the world, the deceitfulness of riches, or the desire of other things,

and by that means become unfruitful.

uit

ny

31 23

vit,

10

ind

pon

25 2

kc.

teit

lp-

to

ith

we

ath

ace

168

ke

Cay

Or

ely

our

ar-

10

our

oul

he

ed.

e."

ur

he

his

te-

li-

ilt

he

15

go

5

As many barbarous mothers destroy the fruit of their womb, either before or after it comes to the birth; fo many obstinate sinners obstruct the growth of the spiritual feed that bruifes the serpeni's head; and many flagrant apostates, in aubose beart Christ was once formed, crucify him afresh, and quench the spirit Hence the many miscarriages and of his grace. apostacies, for which Elisha Coles is obliged to account thus. There are "monsters in spirituals, in " whom there is fomething begotten in their wills, " by the common strivings and enlightenings of the " Spirit, which attains to a kind of formality, but " proves in the end a lump of dead flesh." Surely that great Calvinian divine was brought to a strait when he thus fathered formality and dead flish upon the Holy Ghost.

VIII. I follow you: " therefore all talk of working for life, and in order to find favor with God, is " not less absurd, than if you were to suppose, that a " man could at the fame moment be both condemned " and abfolved." What, Sir! may not a man be justly condemned, and yet graciously reprieved? Nay, may not the judge give him an opportunity to make the belt of his reprieve, in order to get a full pardon and a place at court? At Geneva we think that the abfurdity does not confift in afferting, but in denying it .-"Awake and fleep." What, Sir, is it an abfurdity to think that a man may be in the fame moment awake in one respect, and asleep in another? Does not St. Paul fay, let us awake out of fleep? But this is not all, even in Geneva people can be drowly, that is, half awake and half afleep -" Dead and alive." I hope you will not fix the charge of abfurdity upon Christ, for faying that a certain man was left HALF DEAD, and

of course half alive; and for exhorting the people of Sardis who were dead, to strengthen the things that remained and were ready to die: nor yet upon St. Paul, for saying that the dead body of Abraham begat Isaac, and for speaking of a woman who was dead while she lived.

IX. You go on and fay, that "it is as abfurd to " talk of working for life, as to affert that we can be " at the fame time loved and hated of God." But you forget, Sir, that there a thousand degrees of love and hatred; and that, in the scripture language, loving less is called bating : Jacob bave I loved, and Esau have I hated. Except a man hate his father, &c. be cannot be my disciple. Yea, and we can without abfurdity fay, that we love the same person in one one respect, and hate her in another. I may love a woman as a neighbour, and yet loath her if I confider her in the capacity of a wife. And what abfurdity is there in afferting, that while the day of grace lasts, God loves, and yet hates an impenitent finner? He love him as his redeemed creature, yet hates him as his rebellious creature : or, in other terms, he loves him with a love of benevolence; but has no more love of complacence for him, than for the devil himself.

X. You proceed: "to talk of working for life is not less absurd, than if you were to suppose, that a man can be at the same moment one with Christ by his spirit dwelling in the heart, and yet not have redemption, peace, and reconciliation by the blood of his cross." Here is, if I mistake not, the

" language of Babel.

I. You confound the various degrees of redemption. Are not thousands of souls redeemed by the blood of Christ's cross, who are not yet redeamed by the power of his spirit? May not every rebellious sinner out of hell say, God REDEEMETH my life from destruction? Is it not a degree of redemption to be kept out of the enjoying the good things of this life, and called to secure the blessings of the next? Did not Cain, Esau, Pharaoh, Saul, and Judas, the five great reprobates,

as i

tio

de

fins

1t,

tru

fo

Spi

the

thi

rec

wl

ha

No

fea

ful

ha

tio

qu

VII

tio

66

46

44

St

be

qu

1411

af

A

WI

66

yo

 $H_{\ell}$ 

fit

as some account them, enjoy this degree of redemption for many years? Have not believers an higher degree of REDEMPTION, even the forgiveness of their sins? And do not they wait for the highest degree of it, even the REDEMPTION of their body, when the trump of God will sound, and wake the dead? Rom.

viii. 23.

of

re-

ul,

ac,

She

to

be

ut

of

ge,

ind

c.

out

ne

ve

n-

b-

of

nt

et

er

e;

an

is

at

ilt

ot

he

he

12.

of

er

of

Is

10

e-

u, 25,

2. As you confound all the degrees of redemption, so you do all the degrees of the manifestation of the He vifits all, fo as to five with and reprove them as he did mankind in the days of Neah: but this is no mark that their peace is made, and a firm reconciliation brought about; witness the deluge, which God fent upon those with whom his Spirit had particularly striven 120 years in the days of Noah.—Again some have the spirit of bondage unto fear; but this, far from being a fign that they have full reconciliation, is a divine confcioufness that they have it not. And others have had the spirit of adoption, and after having begun in him fo grieve or quench him as to end in the flesh. But in the calvinian Babel these scriptural, experimental distinctions are exploded as metaphyfical, if not dreadfully heretical.

XI. You proceed: "you will not affert that a foul "who is quickened together with Christ, and in "whom the spirit of Jesus dwells by his gracious in"fluences can be in a state of enmity with God:"
Still the same confounding of things which should be carefully distinguished! May not a sinner "be quickened" by the seed of life, and yet hold it in unrighteousness? May not a backslider crucify Christ as es in "the gracious influences of his spirit?" And are not such persons "in a state of enmity with God?" But if by a "foul quickened together "with Christ and in whom the spirit of Jesus dwells," you mean a believer compleatly baptized with the Holy Ghost and with fire, in whom he that once visited as a monitor now fully resides as a comforter;

you are right, the enmity ceases, the carnal mind and body of fin are destroyed, and God is all in als to that

just man made perfect in love.

XII. You add: " If a man is not in a state of en-" mity, then he must be in a state of pardon and reconciliation." What, Sir! Is there no medium between these extremes? There is, as furely as the morning dawn intervenes between midnight and noon-day. If the king fays to fome rebels, ' Lay down your arms, furrender, kifs my fon, and you shall be pardoned; the reconciliation on the king's part is undoubtedly begun. So far quas God in Christ reconciling the world unto bimfelf. But can it be faid that the reconciliation is begun on, the part of the rebels, who have not yet laid down any of their arms? Does not the reconciliation gradually take place as they gradually comply with the king's terms? if they are long in coming to kifs the king's fon, is not their full reconciliation suspended till they have fulfilled that last of the king's terms : And tho' the king made the overtures of the reconciliation, is there the least abfurdity in faying that they furrender, and kifs the fon, in order to find reconciliation? Nay is it either fense or truth to affert, that they are absolutely to do nothing towards it?

XIII. What you say about the 13th article of our church, is answered beforehand, Vind. page 58.—But what follows deserves some notice. "When-"ever God puts forth his quickning power upon a soul, it is in consequence, of his having already taken that soul in covenant with himself, and having washed it white in the blood of the Lamb flain." This is very true, if you speak of the covenant of grace, which God made with our first parent, and representative after the sall: and of the washing of all mankind white in the blood of the Lamb from the guilt of original sin, so far as to remit the eternal punishment of it. But you are dreadfully mistaken, if you understand it of the three subse-

quent

qui

not

tre

qu

did

die

20

bel

do

Sin

Go

ten

en

fer

Ser

obt

24 22

con

AI

are

Lo

hea

E

bea

yo

ha

lea

yo

ev

wh

ing

ly

quent degrees of justification and salvation which do not take place but as we work them out with fear and trembling, as God works in us both to will and to do of his good pleasure.

ind

hat

enre-

um

the

and

ay

ou

g's

rift

and

1e-

18 ?

as

eir

led

ide

aft

he

ner

do

ur

enon

dy

17-

nb

co-

11-

he

nb

he

lly

le-

nt

XIV. In the next page you alk some scriptural questions, which I shall scripturally answer. " What did the expiring thief do?" Some hours before he died he obeyed this precept, To day if you will bear his voice barden not your beart; he confessed his fin, and believed in Jelus .- "What did Mary Magdalen do?" She forfook her lovers and followed Jefus into "What Lydia?" She WORSHIPPED Simon's house. God, and reforted where prayer was wont to be made. "What the Philippian jailor?" He ceased from attempting felf-murder, and falling at the apostle's feet, enquired aubat he must do to be faved .- " What the ferpent-bitten Ifraelites?" They looked at the brazen ferpent. "What Paul himself?" For this cause I obtained mercy, fays he, because I did it ignorantly in unbelief, I Tim. i. 13. But this was not all, for he continued praying three days and three nights; and when Ananias came to him, he tarried no longer, but arose and washed away his Sins, calling on the name of the Lord .- " What did the Corinthians do?" They heard and believed, Acts. viii. 8 .-- " And what the Ephesians?" They trusted in Christ after that they heard the word of truth, Eph. 1. 13.

XV. In the next paragraph (page 6, line 28.) you gravely propose the very objection which I have answered, Vind. page 58, without taking the least notice of my answer. And in the next page you advance one of Dr. Crisp's paradoxes. "Wherever God puts forth his power upon a foul (and he does so whenever he visits it with even a touch of preventing grace) pardon and reconciliation are already obtained by such an one. He shall never come into condemnation.

Young penitents, beware! If you admit this tenet, you will probably stay in the far country, vainly fancying you are in your Father's house, because

you have felt a defire to be there. Upon this feheme of doctrine, Lot's wife might have fat down at the gate of Sodom, concluding that because the angels had taken her by the hand, she was already in Zoar. A dangerous delusion this, against which our Lord himself cautions us by crying aloud, REMEMBER Lot's Wife.

I would take the liberty to expostulate with you honoured Sir, about this paradox, if I had not fome hope, that it is rather owing to the printer's mistake than your own. If you wrote in your manufcript pardon is already obtained FOR, not BY, Juch an one, we are agreed; for "Christ made upon the cross a fufficient facrifice and fatisfaction for the fins of the whole world." But what he procured FOR us is not obtained BY us, till the holy Ghost makes the application by faith. " If I had a mind, faid the Rev. Mr. Whitefield, to hinder the progress of the gospel, and to establish the kingdom of darkness, I would go about telling people, they might have the spirit of God and yet not feel it;" or, which is much the fame that the pardon which Christ procured for them, is already obtained by them, whether they enjoy a iense of it or not.

XVI. In the next paragraph, page 7, (who could believe it!) you come fully into Mr. W's doctrine of "doing fomething, in order to obtain justification." You was reminded (Vind. page 48. that "St. "Paul and Mr. W. generally mean by justification, "that wonderful transaction of the Spirit of God in a returning prodigal's confcience, by which the forgiveness of his fins is proclaimed to him thro" the blood of sprinkling." Nevertheless, speaking of the sense of pardon, and the telisting of it to a sinterest in Christ, (this experienced justification) is certainly to be sought in the use of all appointed means; we are to seek that we may find, to ask that we may have, to knock that it may be opened unto us. In this sense, (the very sense

fensi texts thing with in p W's agai

why
follo
has
is it
you
by t
with

five fwer upo P aton Chr one to 1 orde lieve I do Upo you to n prin para ARE

Aga

this

anfw

perpl

dy.

his I

fense we generally fix to the word justification) all the texts you have brought to prove that man is to do something in order to obtain justification and to find favor with God, admit of an EASY SOLUTION." That is, in plain English, easily demonstrate the truth of Mr. W's. proposition, which has been so loudly exclaimed

against as dreadfully beretical.

ne

he

Il's

rd

ER

uc

ne

ke

pt

ie,

a

he

ot 1-

r.

el,

00

of

ne

is

a

ild

ne

2-

St.

n,

in

he

0,

ng

n-

22-

1'-

rre

rck

ry

nse

O prejudice, thou mischievous brother of discord, why didst thou cast thy black vail in June, and the following months, over the EASY SOLUTION which has been found out in December? And what a pity is it, dear Sir, you did not see this folution before you had attempted to expose our grey-headed Elisha, by the publication of that weak and trifling dialogue with the Popish friar at Paris!

XVII. The next page, the 8th, is already answered, Vind. page 59, &c. and the 9th page is answered in the second check, page 22, in the note

upon the Verfifier's performance,

Page 10, After shewing that you confound the atonement with the application of it, the work of Christ with that of the Holy Ghost, you produce one of my arguments, (the first you have attempted to refute,) to prove that we must do something in order to justification; I had afferted that we must believe, faith being previous to justification. You fay, I deny the affertion. Do you indeed, honored Sir! Upon what ground? "the Holy Ghost teaches, fay you, that all who believe ARE justified." And does this prove the point? The king fays to a deferter, Bow to my Son, and thou shalt not be shot. Bow to the prince, adds an officer; all who bow to him ARE pardoned. Must the foldier conclude from the words ARE pardoned, then the pardon is previous to the bow? Again, You are fick, and your phyfician fays, Take this medicine; all who take it ARE cured. Very well, answers your nurse, you need not then distress and perplex my Master, by making him take your remedy. The taking of it cannot possibly be previous to his recovery, for you fay, all who take it ARE cured.

This

This is just such another argument as that of my honored triend. O Sir, how tottering is that fystem, which even such a writer as yourself cannot prop up, without putting fo forced a construction upon the apostle's words, All that believe ARE justified!

Now we have feen upon what fcriptural ground you maintain, that believing cannot be previous to justification, permit me, honored Sir, to quote fome of the many scriptures which induce us to believe just the reverse. Believe in the Lord Jeius Christ and thou shalt be saved—that is, in the lowest fense of the word, thou shalt be justified; for God justifies the ungodly that BELIEVE in Jesus.-We have BE-LIEVED in Jesus Christ, that we might be justify'd by the FAITH of Christ-Whom he hath set forth to be a propitiation, thro' FAITH in his blood, for the remission, of fins that are past. - As Moses lifted up the serpent even so must the Son of man be lifted up, that who sever BELIEVETH in bim Should not perish, Should be pardoned, &c. - Faith shall be imputed to us for righteousnes, if we BELIEVE on him who raised up fesus. -Being therefore justified by FAITH ave have peace with God .- Without FAITH it is impossible to please God. He that BELIEVETH NOT, far from being justified, as is infinuated, shall be damned, the wrath of God abideth on him, he is CONDEMNED ALREADY. John iii. 28. Light cannot be more opposite to darkness, than this doctrine of Christ to that which my honored friend thinks it his duty to patronize.

XVIII. When you have endeavoured to defend your fentiment from scripture, you attempt to do it from reason. Faith, fay you, can no more subsist without its object, than there can be a marriage without the busband. This is as proper an argument as you could advance, had you intended to disprove the doctrine you feem studious to defend, for it is evident that a woman must be married, before she can have an husband. So fure then as marriage is previous to hav11

to

C

la

te

u

fo

W

tl

66

66

66

n

th

ft:

u

to

W

th

ed

th

de

ing an husband, faith is previous to receiving Christ;

for we receive him by faith. John i. 12.

y

1),

p,

ie

br

us

e-

us

est

li-

E-

by

a

ent

er

ar-

th-

us.

ase

ng

aib

Y.

rk-

my

nd

oit

th-

the

uld

ine

it a

ul-

av-

ing

However, from this extraordinary argument, you conclude that the doctrine of believing before justification is not less contrary to reason than it is to scripture; but I flatter myself that my judicious readers will draw a conclusion diamerically opposite.

XIX. A quotation from St. Augustin appears next, and secures the ruin of your scheme. For if faith is compared to a lantern, and Christ to the light in the lantern, common sense tells us, we must have the lantern before we can receive the candle which is to give us light. Or, in other words, we must have faith before we can receive Christ; for you very justly observe, that faith receiveth Christ who is the true light.

XX, St. Augustin's lantern makes way for the witticism with which you conclude your second epif-"No letters fays my honoured friend, were fent "thro' the various provinces against old Mordecai for supposing that the woman, Luke 15, lights a "candle, &c. in order to find her lost piece; but " because he insists upon it, that the piece lights the " candle, fweeps the house, and searches diligently "in order to find the woman." Permit me to ask dear Sir, whether your wit here has not for a moment got the start of your judgment? I introduced the woman feeking the piece she had loft, merely to show that it is neither an herefy, nor an ablurdity to " feek fomething in order to find it:" and that instance proved my point full as well, as if I had fixed upon Saul feeking his Father's affes, or Jacob feeking his brethren in Dothan.

If it is as great an absurdity to say, that sinners are to seek the Lord, as it is to say that a piece seeks the woman who has lost it; let me tell you, dear Sir, that Mr. W. has the good fortune to be countenanced in his folly, first by yourself, who tell us, page 7, that the knowledge of Christ and our interest in him is certainly to be fought in the use of all the appointed means:

F 2 an

And fecondly by Ifaiah, who fays feek ye the Lord while he may be found: by St. Paul, who tells the Athenians that all nations of men are to feek the Lord; and by Christ himself who says, they that seek me early Shall find me-feck that you may find &c.

I leave you to judge, honoured Sir, whether it was worth your while to impeach Mr. W's good sense not only by reflecting upon your own, but by inevitably involving Isaiah, St. Paul, and our Lord himself in the ridicule cast upon my vindicated friend! For the same sinner, who is represented by the lost piece, is a few verses before represented by the loft fon : and you know Jefus Christ tells us that he came from far to feek his Father's pardon and aflitance. You begin your

## THIRD LETTER

By faying, " how God may deal with the Heather world, is not for us to pry into." But we may believe what God has revealed. If the Holy Ghost declares, that in every nation he that feareth God, and worketh righteoufness, is accepted of him, we may credit what he fays, without " being wife above what is written."

If you cannot fet aside that apostolic part of the minutes, you try however to press it into the service of your doctrine. "There is, say you, a material difference between faying, He that feareth God and worketh righteonfreis is accepted, and SHALL BE accepted," and because " the verb is in the present tenfe," you conclude, there is no need of fearing God or working righteoufnels, in order to find acceptance. This is exactly fuch another argument as that which I just now refuted, "we need not believe in order to be justified, because it is said, all that believe ARE jufified, and not SHALL BE juftified." You can no more prove by the one, that Cornelius provoking God and working unrighteoufnefs, was accepted of him; than by the other that unbelievers ARE juftified, because it is faid that believers are so.

2.11

an

tro ce

fri one

the

the

doe

IS Ca

to fm

me Tr

GO St.

Bu

wh

tion

tion

ture

upo

Mo

2d

affu

war

beli

my

of t

this

hani

his l

meni

rd.

he

d;

ly

it

ood

in-

ord

by.

by

hat

ien

be-

nost

and

edit

t 18

the

vice

rial

and ac-

fent

God

nce.

nich

rder

ARE

no no

ing

d of

ufti-

A

A similar instance may convince you of it. All run, says St. Paul, but one receiveth the prize. I who am a stranger to refinements, immediately conclude from those words, that running is previous to the receiving of the prize, and in order to it. No, says a friend, "there is a material difference between saying, one RECEIVETH the prize, and one SHALL RECEIVE the prize. The werb is in the present tense, and therefore the plain sense of the passage is (not that by running he does any thing to receive the prize, but) that he who runs is possessed of the prize, and proves himself to be so. Candid reader, if such an argument proselytes thee to Dr. Crisp's doctrine, I shall suspect there is no small difference between English and Suisse reason.

However to make up the weight of your argument you add, "Cornelius was a chosen vessel." True, for God bath chosen to himself the man that is godly; and such was Cornelius; a devout man, says St. Luke, and one that feared God with all his house. But if my honoured opponent speaks of an election, which drags after it the horrors of absolute reprobation, and hangs the mill-stone of unavoidable damnation about the neck of millions of our fellow-creatures, I must call for proof.

Till it comes, I follow you in your observations upon the merit or rewardableness of good works. Most of them are answered Vind. page 74, &c. and

2d check page 43. The rest I answer thus.

(1.) If you do not believe Mr. Henry when he affures us David speaks of HIMSELF, the Lord rewarded me according to my righteousness, &c. Ps. 18, believe at least the facred historian, who confirms my affertion, ii. Sam. 22. and consider the very title of the Psalm, David spake unto the Lord the words of this song, in the day that the Lord delivered him from the hand of his enemies, and from the hand of Saul.

(2.) But "when David speaks in his own person, his language is very different." Enter not into judgment with thy servant, says he, for in thy sight shall no

man living be justified. The psalmist does not here contradict what he fays of the rewardableness of good works, Pf. 18. He only appeals from the law of innocence to the law of grace, and only disclaims all merit in point of justification and falvation, a thing which Mr. W. takes care to do when he fays, even in the minutes, " not by the merit of works," but by "believing in Christ."

- (3.) My honored correspondent asks next, " where is the man who has the witness of having done what God commanded? I answer, every one has who walks in the light as God is in the light, and can fay with St. John, beloved, if our heart condemn us not, then have we confidence towards God; and whatfoever we ask we receive of him, BECAUSE we keep his commandments, and do those things which are pleasing in his fight.
- (4.) But Bishop Beveridge spoke just the reverse : for he faid in his Private Thoughts "I fin in my best duties, &c." That may be; for he was but a young convert when he wrote his Private Thoughts. hope, before he died he enjoyed more gospel liberty. But whether he did or not, we appeal from HIS private thoughts to the above-mentioned public declaration and evangelical experience of St. JOHN.
- (5.) If many Roman Catholics do not ascribe merit to " mere external performances," I have of done them great injustice;" and to repair that wrong, I declare my full approbation of the excellent passage upon merit which you quote in French from the works of the bishop of Meaux. I say in French, because your English translation represents him as looking on all opinion of merit as presumptuous, whereas he blames only l'opinion d'un mérite présomptueux, the doctrine of a presumptuous merit-of a merit which is not at all derived from Christ, and does not terminate in the glory of his grace.

The

the

fro

nin

no

hin

of

acc

fecu

wa

66 (

46

46 :

mu

WO glo

in t

66 44 9

46 ]

and

bec

ort

pop

Aq

Ro

tani

gon

Cla

to t

to t

6 .. ( re

of

all

ng

en

ut

re

at no

ay

r,

er

n-

st

g

in

e

re

1-

h

ts

6-

in is in

e

The dying challenge of Alex. Seton is answered in the 2d Check, 1st Letter. As to your quotation from bishop Cooper, it does as little credit to his learning, as to his charity; for St. Augustine, who had no more "the spirit of Antichrist" than the bishop himself, uses perpetually the word merit, in speaking of man and his works.

Let'us now fee how you "split the hair," that is, fix the difference there is, between being rewarded according to our works, † BECAUSE of our works, and fecundum merita operum, according to the merit or rewardableness which Christ gives to our works. "The difference say you, by no means depends upon the splitting of an hair; those expressions are as wide as east from west." Are they indeed! Then it must be the east and the west of the map of the world, which meet in one common line upon the globe. This will appear if we consider the manner in which you untie the Gordian knot.

"Good works, fay you, are rewarded because God
of his own mere favour, rich grace, and undeferved bounty has promised that he will freely
give such rewards to those whom he has chosen in
his dear Son." Now, Sir, simplify this sentence,
and you tell us just that good works are rewarded

because God freely promised to reward them."

And is this the east of my honoured opponent's orthodoxy? Surprizing! It just meets the west of popish heterodoxy. You know Sir, that Thomas Aquinas and Scotus are as great divines among the Romanists, as Calvin and Luther among the Protestants: and in slying from Mr. Wesley you are just gone over to Scotus and Baxter; for Scotus and Clara his disciple maintain, that if God gives rewards to the godly, non oritur obligatio ex notura assus, sed

See I John iii. 22, and Vind. page 70. You have no right to throw out this middle term, till you have proved that my quotations are false.

Sco

7002

I an

an

tru

din

mer

der

Lo

wh

and

mo

me

too

qui

crib

Mr

· m

e m

· al

. 0

· (1

of fi

T

· G

4 hi

ha T

4 ly

A SI

& th

ex suppositione decreti & promissi, the obligation does not arise from the nature of the action rewarded but from the decree and free promise of the rewarder. Though so much be given in scripture to good works, says the council of Trent, yet far be it from a christian to glory in himself, and not in the Lord, whose goodness is so great to all men, that he wills those things to be THEIR MERITS, which are HIS GIFTS. Can. 16 de Justis.

Most Protestants, says Baxter, will take merit to signify something which profiteth God, and which is our own, and not his GIFT and GRACE, but they are mis-

taken.

Some however are more candid; Bucer says, if hy MERITING the holy fathers and others mean nothing but to do in faith, by the grace of God, good works which the Lord has PROMISED to reward, in this sense (which is that Scotus, Baxter, and Mr. W. six to merit) we

shall in no wife condemn that word.

Hence it is, that whole congregations of real protestants have not scrupled at times to use the word WE MERIT in their humblest addresses to the throne of grace. "Congregations of real Protestants! says my honored friend, Popery is about midway between Protestantism and such worshippers. Who are they?" I answer, they are the orthodox, opposers of the minutes, the truly honorable the Countess of Huntingdon, the Rev. Mr. Shirley, the Rev. Mr. Madan, and all the congregations that use their hymns; for they all agree to sing,

"Thou hast the righteousness supply'd By which we MERIT heaven."

See Lady Huntingdon's Hymns: Bristol edition, p. 399, and the Rev. Mr. Madan's collection which you frequently use, hymn 25, page 27, last stanza. Come then, dear Sir, while Mr. M. shakes hands with his venerable father Mr. W. permit the vindicator of the minutes to do the same with the author of Pietas Oxoniensis, and let us lovingly follow Scotus

Scotus and Baxter finging, Christ bath the righteouf-

nels futply'd by which WE MERIT beaven.

rot

be

So

n.

in

at

E-

ig-

our

if-

but

ich

ch

que

0-

ord

ne

ays

een

333

the

nt-

m,

for

p.

ou

za.

nds

di-

OF

OW

tus

If you say, True, but it is of God's own mere fawour, rich grace, and undescreed bounty in his dear Son;
I answer, We are agreed, and beforehand I subscribe
an hundred such clauses, being sully perswaded of the
truth of Mr. W's proposition when explained according to the analogy of faith, "There is no original
merit but in the blood and obedience of Christ; and no
derived merit, or if you dislike that word out of the
Lock-chapel) no derived rewardableness, but that
which we are supplied with thro' the Spirit of Christ
and the blood or his cross:" If Mr. W. meant any
more by the saying you have quoted, he will permit
me to use his own words, and say that he "leaned
too much toward Calvinism."

I cannot better close the subject of merit, and requite your quotation from Dr. Willet, than by transcribing a third passage from the pious and judicious Mr. Baxter.

We are agreed on the negative: (1.) That no man or angel can merit of God in proper commutative justice, giving him somewhat for his be-

e nefits that shall profit him, or to which he had no

absolute right. (2) No man can merit any thing

of God upon the terms of the law of innocency, (but punishment) (3) Nor can he merit any thing

of God by the law of grace, unless it be supposed first to be a free gift, and merited by Christ.

'And affirmatively we are, I think, agreed (1)
'That God governs us by a law of grace which hath

a promise, and gives by way of reward. (2) That God calls it his indicato reward men according to

God calls it bis justice to reward men according to his law of grace, Heb. xi, 6. 2 Tim. iv, 8. (3)

That this supposes, that such works as God rewards

have a moral aptitude for that reward, which chiefly confifts in these things, that they spring from the

\* Spirit of God, that their faultiness is pardoned

thro the blood and merits of Christ, that they are

done in love and to the glory of God, and that they are presented to God by Jesus Christ. (4) That this moral aptitude is called in scripture agia, that is, worthiness or merit; fo that thus far worthiness or merit is a scripture phrase. And (5.) that this " worthiness or merit is only in point of paternal, go-" verning justice, according to the law of grace, or. dering that which in itself is a free gift merited by Christ.'

' All orthodox Christians hold the fore-described doctrine of merit in fense, tho' not in words: for they that deny merit, confess the rewardableness of our obedience, and acknowledge that the scripture " useth the term worthy, and that a zi @ and a zia " may be translated, meriting and merit, as well as worthy and worthiness. This is the fame thing, in other words, which the ancient Christians meant by merit. When godly persons earnestly extol ho-Innefs, faying that the righteous is more excellent than · bis neighbour, and yet deny all merit, reviling all that ' affert it, they do but shew that they understand not the word, and think others also misunderstand it:

and fo we are reproaching one another, where we are agreed and know it not: like the woman who turned away her fervant upon the controverly, Whether the house should be swept with a besom or

with a broom.

' The partial teachers are the cause of this, while instead of opening the doctrine, and shewing in what sense we have or have not any quorthiness or " merit, they without distinction cry down merit, and

e reproach those that do otherwise. And if they do but fay "Such a man, speaks for merit and free

" will," that they fufficiently rendered him odious to their followers, when yet all fober Christians in all ages have been for merit and free will in a found

4 fenfe. And is not this to be adversaries to truth, and love, and peace?"

' I formerly thought, that tho' we agree in the thing, it is best to omit the name, because the Papills have

\* It that m deny t thew t

tell th

ing co. at with

6 h

4 mi

4 da

' in

6 W

ar

· Be

· pa

6 in

· res

6 th

contr

gini

does

tion

" A

felve

have

you

belie

whol

ment

chec

do N

minu

most

contr

I

have abused it; and I think so still in such companies, where the use of it not understood will scandalize men, and do more harm than good. But
in other cases, I now think it better to keep the
word (1.) lest we seem to the ignorant to be of
another religion than \* all the ancient churches
were.' (2.) Lest we harden the Papists, Greeks
and others, by denying sound doctrine in terms,
which they will think we deny in sense. And (3.)
Because our penury of words is such, that for my
part I remember no other word so sit to substitute
instead of merit, desert or worthiness. The word
rewardableness is long and harsh. But it is nothing else that we mean." Baxter's end of doctrinal
controversies, page 294,

I am glad that my honored opponent, in the be-

ginning of his

hey

That

that

inels

this

30-

or-

d by

ibed

tor

els of

ture

2210

ell as

g, in

leant

l ho-

than

that

d not

id it:

e we

who

erfy,

om or

while

ng in

ress or

, and

they

d free

ous to

in all found truth,

in the

apilts

have

FOURTH LETTER, does Mr. W. the justice to "admit of the explanation I have "given of" that misunderstood affertion, "All who are convinced of fin undervalue themfelves. Had you done otherwise, Sir, you would have shewn judgment without mercy. Nevertheless, you still think that explanation forced; while many believe it not only natural, and agreeable to Mr. W's. whole plan of doctrine, but so folid that no arguments can overthrow it. If you turn to the second check, page 44, you will see more clearly, that you do Mr. W. no favor in "dismissing this article of the minutes."

But you prepare to attack the next with the utmost vigor. A part of the minutes which you esteem most contrary to sound doctrine is, say you, that "We are every

<sup>\*</sup> It is a great advantage to the Papist, says our judicious author, that many Protestants wholly disclaim the word merit, and simply deny the merit of gospel-obedience. For hereupon the teachers shew their scholars, that all the fathers speak for merit, and to tell them, that the Protestant doctrine is new and heretical, as being contrary to all the ancient doctors: and when their scholars see it with their eyes, no wonder if they believe it, to our dishonous.

every hour and every moment pleasing or displeasing to God according to the whole of our inward tempers and outward behaviour, &c." And it is, I own, diametrically opposite to the favorite sentiment which you thus express, "Tho' I believe that David's SIN displeased the Lord, must I therefore believe that "David's PERSON was under the curse of the law?" (I suppose you mean under God's displeasure, for of this Mr. W. speaks, nor does he mention the curje of the law in all the minutes) You boldly answer, "Surely " no.—Like Ephraim he was still a pleasant child: " tho' he went on frowardly" in adultery and murder, " he did not lose the character of the man after God's own heart." My dear Sir, you might as well have advanced at once that unguarded proposition of Dr. Crifp, "God does no longer stand displeased, " tho' a believer de fin often: no fin can possibly " do him any hurt." Is this what you call " found " doctrine?" And is that the worst part of the minutes, which opposes such a dangerous tenet? Then how excellent must the other parts be! Indeed, Sir, their vindicator could fay nothing stronger to demonstrate their foundness, seasonableness and importance. But let us confider your arguments; and that with fuch care, as the importance of the subject requires.

I. "David's SIN displeased the Lord," but not "his PERSON." This is what you must mean if you oppose Mr. W's. proposition. I like your shifting the terms; it is a sign you are a little ashamed the world should see the good Doctor's scheme without some covering. Erubuisti, salva res oft. (1.) Your intimation that the Lord was not displeas'd at David's PERSON, bears hard upon the equity and veracity of God. David commits adultery and murder in Jerusalem, and Claudius in Rome. God sees them, and says agreeably to your scheme. 'They are both guilty of the same crimes, and both impenitent: but David is a Jew, an elect, a sheep, and therefore, tho' he sins against ten times more light than the

oth die and If the lowing Are a shall of the shall of the

for w Onan upon this Onar be a torial He fl

who o

(3

declaright disple God's The I and walone whom with swith when

as we hever person of God

other, I am not at all displeased at him. But Claudius is an Heathen, a reprobate, a goat, and my anger sinokes against him; he shall turely die.'—
If this is God's method, how can he make the following appeal! O bouse of Israel are not MY ways equal?

Are not YOUR ways unequal?—The soult bat sinneth it shall die: wherefore turn ye, W by will ye die, O house of

Ifrael? See Ez. xviii. and 2d check, page 69.

g

rs

1-

h

N

at

118

be

ly

d:

i's

ell

of

d.

biy

nd

es,

OW

eir

ate

ut

ch

bis

phe

the

out

our

d's

ot

ru-

ind

uil-

but

the

(2.) Your distinction is overthrown by scripture; for we read Gen. xxxviii. 10. that The thing which Onan did displeased the Lord. "True, might you say upon your scheme, this is the very thing I assert; this mode of speech shews that God was angry at Onan's sin, and not at his person."—But this would be a great mistake, honored Sir; for the sacred historian adds immediately, Wherefore God slew him also: He shewed his heavy displeasure at his person by punishing him with death, as well as his brother Er,

who was wicked in the fight of the Lord.

(3.) But if you will not believe Mr. W. when he declares that God is displeased at the persons of the righteous, the moment they do those things which displease him, believe at least the oracles of God. God's anger was kindled against Moses, Ex. iv. 14.— The Lord was very angry against Aaron, Deut. ix. 20. and with all Israel, witness those awful words, let me alone that I may consume them in a moment. Isaiah, whom you allow to be an elect, says, thou wast angry with Me. God himself says, Is. xlvii. 6, I was angry with my people; and David, who frequently deprecates God's wrath in his penitential psalms, observes that his anger smokes against the sheep of his passure, when they go astray. Ps. lxxiv. 1.

(4.) The new testament inculcates this doctrine as well as the old. St. Paul having reminded the believers of Ephesus, that no suboremonger or covetons person bath any inheritance in the kingdom of Christ and of God, subjoins this seasonable caution: let no man

G. decoipe

deceive yon: no not those good men, Dr. Crisp and the author of Pictas Oxoniensis: for because of these things the wrath of God cometh upon the children of disobedience.—Impossible! say those orthodox Protestants; you may be children of disobedience, not only unto whoredom and coverous uess, but unto adultery and murder without fearing that the wrath of God will come upon you for those things: No, no, you will be "pleasant children still." See Vind. page 89.

II. You proceed: "Shall I believe that because David was ungrateful, God (whose " gifts and callings are without repentance) was unfaithful?" And shall I believe, that God is not as faithful when he accomplishes his threatnings, as when he fulfils his promifes? You reply, "God's gifts and callings are swithout repentance." And does this prove that God's warnings are without meaning, and his threatnings without truth? St. Paul spoke those words of the election of the lews; and it is certain God does not repent that he formerly called them, and gave them the land of Canaan; any more than he repents his having now rejected them, and taken from them the good land which he gave their fathers: for as he had once fut. ficient reasons to do the one, so he has now to do the other.

But if you will make this passage mean, that the divine favour and blessings can never be forfeited through any sall into sin; I beg you will answer these queries. Had not God given all angels a place in his savour and glory? And oid not many of them lose it by their sall? Was not innocent Adam interested in the divine savor and image? And did he not lose both, together with paradise, when he sell into sin? Did not king Saul torget the crown which God had given him, and the throne to which he had called him? Were not Judas's calling and apossessing forseited by his unfaithfulness, as well as one of the twelve thrones which Christ had promised him? What will you say of the unprositable servant, from whom

whenot An low ef a tate subs

Hel

I pleas tring as on baff rael chilling, dere HAT drive Hen Epb

the chimit thine ferva

caufe

terer than

(2

and

bele

lifo-

:315

into

ur-

come

lea-

ause

13 11

hen

ulfils

rod's

with-

Ction

epent

land

ving

land

fut-

o the

at the

feited

nfwer

place

them

inte-

e not

into

God

e had leship

of the

him!

from

Whom

whom his lord took the talent unimproved? Lost he not a blessing given, and his calling to occupy with it? And can you affert, that the man who took his setlow-servant by the throat did not lose the forgiveness of a debt of ten thousand talents? Or that those apostates, who tread under foot the blood of the covenant exherewith they were SANCTIFIED, do not forfeit their SANCTIFICATION by doing despite to the spirit of grace? Is it right thus to set the author of the epittle to the Romans against the author of the epittle of the Hebrews?

III. Your bringing in backfliding EPHRAIM the pleasant child, as a witness of the truth of your doctrine, is a most unhappy proof. Rejoice not, O Israel, as other people, says the Lord. Hos. ix. 1, for thou hast gone a whoring from thy God. This whoring Israel is called Ephraim ver. 13. Ephraim, the pleasant child, is planted as a pleasant plant. Notwithstanding, Ephraim shall bring forth his children for the murderer. All their wickedness is in Gilgal; for there I HATED them, For the wickedness of their doings, I will drive them out of mine house; I will love them no more Hence the prophet observes immediately after, Ephraim is smitten, my God will cast them away, because they did not hearken unto him.

IV. However, my honored friend still affirms, that "David, notwithstanding his horrible backslidings, "did not lose the character of the man after God's "own heart." But he will permit me to believe the contrary, (1.) Upon the testimony of the Psalmist himself, who says in your favorite psalm, thou hast east off and abborred, thou hast been very wrath with thine anointed: thou hast made would the covenant of thy servant; the u hast prophaned his crown by casting it to to the ground. Ps. lxxxix. 38.

(2.) Where is David called the man after God's own heart, while he continued an impenitent adulterer? How much more guarded is the scripture than your letters! David did that which was right in

the eyes of the Lord, and turned not aside, SAVE only in the matter of Uriah, I Kings xv. 5. Here you see the immoral parenthesis of ten months spent in adultery and murder, expressly pointed at, and excepted an

al

thi

itr

bil

ave

m:

ad

co

ple

mo

Sla

lay

de

m

W

th

D

to

tri

66

66

46

46

W

did

icr

ad

ad

fer

2201

do

ex

th

11

ın

by the Holy Ghost.

(3.) David himself, far from thinking that sin could never separate between God and a just man who draws back into wickedness, speaks thus in the last charge which he gave to Solomon; and thou Solomon my son, know the God of thy father, and serve him with a perfect heart. If thou seek him, he will be found of thee; but if thou forsake him he will cast thee off forever. I Chr. xxviii. 9. Hence it appears that the God of Solomon's father is very different from the picture which Dr. Crisp draws of David's God. The former can be so displeased at an impenitent backslider as to cast him off forever; while the latter accounts him a pleasant child still. But let us come to matter of sact.

(4.) Displeasure, anger, or wrath in God is not that disturbing boisterous passion so natural to sallen man; but an invariable disapprobation of sin, and a steady design to punish the sinner. Now God severely manifested this righteous displeasure at David's person, when he punished him by not restraining any longer the ambition of his rebellious son. How remarkably did his dreadful punishments answer his Heinous crimes! He wanted the fruit of his adultery to live, but insteadle justice destroys it. The crown of righteousness was fallen from his head, and his royal crown is prophaned and cast to the ground.

He had not turned out the way-faring man, the hellish tempter; and he is turned out of his own palace and kingdom. He slies beyond Jordan for his life; and as he slies Shemei throws stones at him; vollies of curses accompany the stones; and the most cutting challenges follow the curses: Come out, thou bloody man, said he, thou man of Belial; the Lord hath delivered thy kingdom into the hand of Abjolom thy son;

fee

dut-

eted

fin

nan

the

So-

bim

und

for-

the

the

ent

tter

e to

not

llen

id'a

fe-

Da-

ain-

on.

an-

of

s it.

ad,

und.

the

nwo

his

vol-

nost

bou

bath

on;

and

od.

and behold thou art taken in thy mischief, because thou art a bloody man. To which David could answer nothing, but " Let him curse; for the Lord, by not restraining his wickedness, bath permissively faid unto him, Curfe David. I fee the impartial justice of a finavenging God, through the cruel abuse of this raging man." This was not all; He had fecretly committed adultery with Uriah's wife, and his fon publicky commits incest with his own wives. And to compleat the horror of his punishment, he leaves the most dreadful curse upon his posterity. Thou bast Sain Uriab with the sword of the children of Ammon, tays the Lord, now therefore the fword shall NEVER depart from thy bouse, and thy own children shall murder one another. What a terrible punishment was this! And how strong must be the prejudice of those, who maintain that God was not displeased at David's person!

V. Pass we now to an argument, which you seem to confider as one of the main pillars of your thoctrine. " If one believer fin by an unclean thought, " lay you, and another by an unclean act, does the " former continue in a state of grace, and the other " forfeit his fonship? Take heed lest you should be " forced to go to Rome for an answer to this query." Without going even to the convent of the Benedictine monks in Paris, I answer, it is evident from icripture, that an adulterous thought delighted in is adultery. He that entertains such a thought is an adulterer, one who is abfolutely unfit for the presence of an holy God. Be not deceived, fays St. Paul netiber fornicators nor adulterers shall inherit the kingdom of God. Therefore adultery of heart certainly excludes an impenitent backflider out of heaven; though it will not fink him into fo deep an hell, as if he had drawn another into the commission of his intended crime. You add,

"But if David had only had an angry thought, "he had still been a murderer in the fight of God.

Not so, for there is a righteous anger, which is a virtue and not a fin; or else how could Christ have looked round about on the Pharifees with anger, and continued finless? You mean probably, that if David had only bated Uriah in his heart, he would have been a murderer. If so, your observation is very just, for he that bateth his brother, says St. John, is a MURDERER; and you know, adds he, that no murderer, though he were a royal psalmist, bath eter-

nal life abiding in bim.

But what do you get by these arguments? Nothing You only make it easier to prove that your doctrine is erroneous. For if David would have forfeited heaven by looking on Uriah's Wife, to luft after her in his heart; or by intending in his breaft to murder her husband; how much more did he forfeit it, when his mental fins fully ripened into outward enormities! -- Ye are of your father the devil subofe works ye do, faid Christ to some of the chosen nation; and if adultery and murder are works of the devil, it follows from those words of our Lord, that while David continued impenitent, he was NOT a man after God's own heart, as my honored opponent too charitably supposes; but a man after the own heart of him, who abode not in the truth, and is a murderer from the beginning.

VI. But you add, "Sin did not reign in him as a king, it only for a time usurped as a tyrant." Nay Sir, sin is a tyrant wherever he reigns, and he reigns wherever he usurps. "Where will you draw the line" between the reign and the tyranny of Sin? Are not both included under the word dominion? Sin says St. Paul, shall not have DOMINION over you that are under grace. Had I made such a distinction as this, some protestants would deservedly have called it metaphysical; but as it comes from the orthodox author of Pietas Oxoniensis it will probably pass for evangelical.

br

po

te

con

ni

the

an

91

Cl

fle

de

aft

mu

pe

the

der

ph

AL

-

the

all

be the

ful

bin

ye

plet

for Go

fan ten

orig

it

,

if

19

1,

0

-

g

lr

e

St

O

-

t-

1

n

at

a

18

n

. .

1

y

y

M

a

y

e

y

Very different however is St. Peter's orthodoxy. Of whom a man is overcome, fays he, of the same is he brought into bondage. For if after they have escaped the pollutions of the world through the knowledge of the Lord Jesus Christ, they are again entangled therein and overcome, the latter end is worse with them than the beginning. Nevertheless even such apostates, so long as the day of their visitation lasteth, may again repent and believe; for as you justly observe, they have still an advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous.

VII. You try to prove your point by scripture. There is, fay you, no condemnation to them who are in Chrift. True! but it is while they walk not after the flesh, but after the spirit; a clause which you prudently keep out of fight. And furely David walked after the flesh, when in the act of adultery and murder .- You proceed, " who shall lay any thing to the charge of Gou's elect?" Nobody, if God's elect are penitent believers, who walk not after the flesh; but if they are impenitent adulterers and hypocritical murderers; Jews and Gentiles, Law and Gospel, Prophets and apostles, God and their own conscience, ALL will agree to lay their crimes to their charge. -You urge that "Christ by one offering hath for ever perfected them that are fanctified." True! but not those who are unfanctified. And certainly such are all adulterers and murderers. Those ought rather to be ranked with those who tread under foot the blood of the covenant wherewith they are fanctified.

"It is faid, however, ye (believing, loving. fruitful Colossians, see Chap. i. 4, 6.) are compleat in
bim." It is so; but not ye impenitent backsliders,
ye unclean defilers of another's bed. Such are complete in evil not in good, in Belial, not in Christ. Alas
for the prostitution of the sacred and pure word of
God! Can it also be pressed into the service of profaneness and impurity! To rescue at least one sentence from such manifest abuse I might observe, the
original may, with the greatest propriety be rendered

" filled with (or by) him," instead of compleat in him; and I think the context fixes this fense upon it. The apostle is cautioning the Colossians against vain philosophers, whose doctrine was empty and deceitful. Now that he might do this the more effectually, he points out a more excellent teacher, whose character and qualifications he describes when he fays, in him dwelleth the fullness TANDWHE, of the Godbead. He immediately adds mem Anpour voi ev dul, (a verb of the fame etymology with the noun and undoubtedly of a fimilar import) ye are filled with (or by) bim. As if he had faid "Christ is filled with the Godhead of the Father, and ye with the spirit of Christ, the spirit of wisdom, righteousness, and firength." Plenitudo Christi (fays the learned and pious Bengelius on the paffage) redundat in ecclefiam, "the fullness of God dwelling in the Mediator overflows upon his church." The very fense our translators have given the very fame two words in Eph. iii, 19. Why they rendered them differently here is hard to fay.

VIII. You go on " no falls or backflidings in " God's children can ever bring them again under " condemnation, because the law of the spirit of life in " Christ Jejus bath made them free from the law of ha " and death." A most dangerous proposition, expofed Vind. page 88, and contrary to the very scripture by which you try to support it. (1) To the context, where those to whom there is no condemnation, are faid to be persons who walk not after the flesh, and are therefore very different from impenitent adulterers and murderers, who bring forth the most execrable fruits of the flesh. (2.) To the text itself; tor if the law, or power of the spirit of life in Christ Jesus bath made the believer free from the law, or power of fin; how can he be represented as the tame fervant of fin; -as fold under fin-fold under adultery and murder for ten months! But you are not at a lois tor an answer.

66

Y

CT

1

gu

tic

as

W

fi

to

C

p

1.

t!

1

0

n

1

1

m;

It.

ain

it-

U-

ofe

he

id-

,

n-

or

th

of

nd

nd

ii-

or

ur

in

ly

in

er

in

Gu

0-

re

11-

no

В,

nt

il

1;

ift

1.-

1-

ry

13

e

1X. " We are very apt, fay you, to fet up mour-" tainous diffinctions concerning the various degrees of fin, especially of fins after conversion:" This, together with your placing "an angry thought" upon a level with deliberate murder, feems to infinuate that you make very dittle difference between an atrocious crime and a fin of Surprize; fo that, upon your scheme a bloody murderer may plead that he is not more guilty, than a man who has felt a motion of impatience; and the latter may be hurried out of his wits as if he had committed murder. To remove this mistake, I need only observe, that if all are papists who make a material difference between various fins, or between the fame fins variously aggravated, my worthy opponent is as found a papist as myfelf: for when he acts as a magistrate, he does not promifcuously pass the same sentence upon every one. He commits one to prison, and dismisses another with a gentle reprimand. Our Lord himfelf fets you the pattern of this equity where he fays, that the Pharifees shall receive the GREATER damnation, and that it shall be MORE TOLERABLE for Sodom than for Corazin in the day of judgment: whence we may justly infer, that the fin of some is more "mountainous" than that of others.

But as you have made choice of David's case, permit me to argue from his experience. He was once, you know, violently angry with Nabal; but as he seasonably restrained his anger, and meekly confessed his sin, God forgave him without "breaking his bones." Not so when the unrestrained evil of his heart in the matter of Uriah produced the external fruits of treachery and murder. For then the Lord inslicted upon him all the dreadful punishments which we have already considered. Hear the rod therefore, and learn what vast difference the Lord makes between sins whether committed after, or before conversion.

X. What follows is a fweet and fmooth Antinomian pill, fo much the more dangerous as it is gilt with

with gold taken from the fanctuary, from the golden altar itself. Hence it is that multitudes swallow it down as rich grace without the least scruple or suppicion. Lord, dart a beam of thy wisdom into the anind of thy servant, that I may separate the precious from the vile, and expose the dangerous ingredient

of

Go

tha

cle

an

que

110

ou

on

700

of

de

of

ce

gi

be

fti

po

be

th

fin

ba

at

be

7€

di

tr

to

P

th

21

d

without depreciating the gold that covers it.

"What is all fin, do you say, before the infinitely precious atoning blood of Jesus?" Nothing at all, when that blood is humbly apprehended by penitent believers who depart from all iniquity. But, when it is accounted a common thing, and trodden under fact by impenitent apostates; or wantonly pleaded in desence of sin by loose Nicolaitans or lukewarm Laodiceans, it does not answer its gracious design. On the contrary, bow shall we escape, says St. Paul, if we thus neglect such great salvation! And of how much forer punishment than others shall they be thought sworthy, who do such despite to the spirit of grace! see Heb. it, 3, and x, 29. You go on,

" If Christ has fulfilled the whole law and borne " the curse, then all debts and claims against his " people, be they more or be they less, be they " finall or be they great, be they before, or be they " after convertion, are for ever and for ever cancel-" led. All trespasses are forgiven them. - They are " justified from all things .- They already have ever-" lasting life," What! before they repent and believe! A bold affertion this! which fets Jesus against Christ-our PRIEST against our PROPHET. For Christ himself teaches us that many for whom his fatlings are killed, and all things are now ready, thro' an obstinate refusal of his fincere, (I hope nobody will fay bypocritical) invitations, shall never take of his supper. And as if this was not enough to arm us against your doctrine, he commissioned an apostle to assure his church, that some who have tasted of his gospel-Supper, that is, who have been enlightened, have tafted the heavenly gift, the good word of God, and the powers

Control of the state of the state of

74

ic

1-

ie

13

it

y

11

n

ct

in

)-

n

ve

di

-

5.

ie

18

y

y

6

r-

-

it

ľ

IS

,

11

-

ît e

1-

d

of the world to come, do crucify to themselves the Son of God as fresh, and by that mean, so totally fall away that it is impossible to renew them again to repentance. A clear proof this that those who once truly repented, and were even made partakers of the Holy Ghost, may quench the Spirit, and sin against the Holy Ghost, may not only fall, but fall finally, Heb. vi. 4.

(2) Your doctrine fets also our high PRIEST against our heavenly KING, who declares, that if he who was once his faithful fervant, begins to beat his fellow ferwants, much more to murder them, he will, as judge of all, command him to be bound band and foot, and delivered to the tormentors. See 2d check, page 4.

(3) Your doctrine drags after it all the abfurdities of eternal, absolute justification. It sets ande the use of repentance and faith, in order to pardon and acceptance. It represents the fins of the elect as forgiven, not only before they are confessed, but even before they are committed; a notion which that frong Calvinist Dr. Owen himself could not but oppose. It supposes that all the penitents who have believed that they were once children of wraib, and that God was displeased at them when they lived in fin, have believed a lie. It makes the preaching of the gospel one of the most absurd, wicked and barbarous things in the world. For what can be more absurd than to say repent ye and believe the gospel, he that believeth shall be damned; if a certain number can never repent or believe, and a certain number can never be damned? And what can be more wicked, than to diftress elect finners by bidding them fly from the surath to come, if there is absolutely no wrath, neither past, present, nor to come for them, if all their fins "be they more or less, be they finall or great are for ever and for ever cancelled?" As for the reprobates, how barbarous is it to bid them fly, it adamantine chains, eternal decrees of past wrath, perpetually bind them down, that they may never escape the repeated eternal strokes of the wrath to come?

(4) But what shocks me most in your scheme, is the reproach which it unavoidably fixes upon Christ. It says, "the elect are justified from all things even before they believe." In all their sins "God views them without spot, wrinkle, or any such thing: they stand always compleat in the everlasting righteout ness of the Redeemer." "Black in themselves, they are comely thro' his comelines:" so that when they commit adultery and murder, "He who is of purer eyes than to behold iniquity, can nevertheless address them with, Thou art all fair, my love, my

" undefiled, there is no spot in thec."

What a profitution of the word of God is here! We blame a wild youth for dropping fome bold poor Heathen. But I acquit thee of indecency, O Terence, if a vindicator of Christian piety, has a right to represent our holy and righteous God, as faying to a bloody adulterer in flagranti delicto, Thou art all fair my love, my undefiled, there is no spot in thee. And are these the fat pastures and lympid waters, where gospel-preachers "feed the sheep?" Where then! Oh where are the "barren pastures and muldled waters" in which barefaced Antinomians feed the goats? Is not this taking the children's bread to cast it to dogs? I had almost asked, Is it not the abomination of defolation standing in the boly place? See ye not the Lord, O ye mistaken Christians, looking down from the habitation of his holines? And do ye not hear him thunder this expostulation from heaven? How long will ye blaspheme mine bonour, and have fuch pleasure in deceit! Know ye not that I have chosen to myfelf the man that is godly, and that him who delighteth in iniquity, doth my foul abbor?

(5) And plead not, dear Sir, that you have quoted feripture in defence of your point. If the church fays in a mystical fong, "I am black in the eyes of the world because the sun of affliction and perfecution bath looked upon me while I kept the vineyards; but I am comely in

the

the

we

VIII

am

COI

der

the

juli

nit

bin

cio

La wh

min

fur

tell

46

66

bef

wa

fol.

66

44

66

66

but

lier

evi

can

ma

pot

lay

the fight of God whose spirit enables me with unwearied patience to bear the burden and heat of the day;" you have absolutely no right, either from divinity or critcism, to make those words mean " I am black by the atrocious crimes which I actually commit, black by the horrors of adultery and murder; but no matter; I am comely by the purity and charity of my Saviour: my fins, be they small or be they great, are for ever and for ever cancelled; I am justified from all things." -- Again, if God fays to a penitent believer, to a foul actually washed, qualking with bim as Enoch, and walking in white, as the few names in Sardis who had not defiled their garments, "Thou art all fair, my undefiled:" is it right to take those gracious words, and apply them to every lukewarm Laodicean we meet with: and to every apostate, who not only defiles his garments, but wallows in the mire like the jow that was washed?

y

n

S

y

d

a

a

15

rt

e.

s,

re

ì.

ot

0-

ye

19

do

3-

ve

en

ot-

ed

ys

rld

ed

in

he

(6.) Another great, and if I am not mistaken, infurmountable difficulty attends your scheme. You tell us that "a believer's person stands absolved and " always compleat in the everlafting righteoufness " of the Redeemer." But I ask, Was he absolved before he was a believer? If you answer, "No, he was absolved the moment he began to believe," it follows that he does fomething, that is, he believes towards his absolution. And thus your main pillars, " that faith is not previous to justification, that there " is no wrath in God for the elect, and that all " claims against his people before or after conver-" fion are for ever cancel'd," are not only broken, but ground to powder. Add to this that if the believer is justified in consequence of his faith, it is evident that his justification while he is on earth, can stand no longer than his faith, and that if he makes shipsweek of faith and a good conscience as Hymeneus, he must again come into condemnation. But suppoling that to avoid these inconveniencies you boldly tay, "He was justified from the time the Lamb was H

Main, that is from the beginning of the world." You point-blank contradict Christ, who says that he who believeth not is condemned already. Thus either the veracity of our Lord, or the truth of your doctrine must go to the bottom. A sad dilemma this, for those who consound Crispianity with Christianity!

XI. You reply, " As foon shall Satan pluck Christ's crown from his head, as his purchase from his hand." Here is a great truth, making way for a palpable error, and a dreadful infinuation. Let us (1.) fee the great truth. It is most certain that no body shall ever be able to pluck Christ's Sheep, that is, penitent believers, who hear his voice and follow bim, out of his protecting almighty hand. But if the minds of those penitent believers are corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ; if they wax wanton against him, turn after Satan, end in the flesh, and draw back to perdition; if growing fat and kicking like Jeshurum, they neigh like high-ted horses after their neighbours wives; we demand proof that they belong to the fold of Christ, and are not rather goats and wolves in Sheeps cloatbing, who cannot without converfion enter into the kingdom of heaven. (2.) The palpable error is, that none of those for whom Christ died can be east away and destroyed, that no wirgin's lamp can go out, no promifing harvest be choaked with thorns, no branch in Christ cut off for untruitfulness, no pardon forfeited, and no name blotted out of God's book .- That no falt can lofe its favour, nobody receive the grace of God in vain, bury bis talent, neglect fuch great faboation, trihe away a day of visitation, look back after setting bis band to the plow, and grieve the spirit till he is quenched, and firives no more. This error so conducive to the Laodicean case, is expressly opposed by St. Peter, who informs us that some deny the Lord that BOUGHT them, and bring upon themselves swift destruction. And Christ himself, far from desiring to keep his lukewarm purchase in his hand, declares that be will foue it out of HIS MOUTH. Rev. iii. 16.

Pafs

. I

you

wh

dy

of t

try

Do

trut

py

Wh

the

hea

ven

his

der

cest

no i

and

ing

cteri

See

and

nal

66 W

66 1

.. (

66 9

to F

con

and

quo

val

you

to o

you

wha

You

who

ve-

rine

tor

uck

nate

ing

ion.

hat

hat

low

t if

rom

ton

and

ike

beir

ong

and

er-

able

be out.

ncb

eit-

no

iod

tri-

bis

ed,

the

er,

HT

nd

ce-

vill

afs

!

. Pass we on (3) to the dreadful infinuation. While you perpetually try to comfort a few elect, some of whom, for what I know, comfort themselves already with their neighbours wives, yea, and the wives of their fathers; please to tell us how we shall comfort millions of reprobates, who, for what you know, try to fave themselves from this adulterous generation, Do ye not hear how Satan, upon a supposition of the truth of your doctrine, triumphs over those unhappy victims of what some call God's sovereignty? While that old murderer shakes his bloody hand over the myriads devoted to endless torments, methinks I hear him fay to his fellow executioners of divine vengeance, As foon shall Christ's crown be plucked from his head as this his free gift from my band. Let yonder little flock of the elect commit adultery and incest without any possibility of missing beaven. I object no more. See what crowds of reprobates may pray and reform, and itrive quithout any possibility of escaping hell. Let those gay elect shout everlasting love! cternal justification! and finished salvation! I consent! See, ye fiends, fee the immense prey that awaits us and roar with me before hand Everlasting wrath! eternal reprobation! and finished damnation!

XII. "Our xiith article maintains that good " works necessarily spring out of a lively faith, info-" much that by them a lively faith may be as evi-"dently known, as a tree difcerned by its fruits." " This you fay I most firmly believe;" And nevertheless to prove just the contrary, to shew that when David committed adultery and murder he had a lively faith, and was in a state of justification and fanctification, you quote a verse of an hymn, composed by the Rev. Mr. C. Wesley, which only confirms what I say of undervaluing, Vind. page 83. But you mistake him, if you suppose that when Not ONE bud of grace appears to ourselves, many, may not appear to others; and if you apply to outward enormities greedily committed, what the poet means of inward motions of fin cor-H 2 dially . dially lamented and steadily opposed. Nevertheless, as some expressions in this hymn are not properly guarded, the pious author will forgive me if I transcribe part of a letter which I lately received from him.

' I was once on the brink of Antinomianism, by unwarily reading Crifp and Saltmarsh. Just then warm in my first love, I was in the utmost dane ger, when providence threw in my way Baxter's treatife intitled, An bundred errors of Dr. Crift de-"monstrated. My brother was sooner apprehensive of the dangerous abuse which would be made of our unguarded hymns and expressions, than I was. Now I also see and feel we must all fink—unless we call St. James to our affistance. Yet let us still ' infift as much or more than ever on St. Paul's justification. What God has joined together let no man put afunder. The great Chillingworth faw ' clearly the danger of separating St. James from St. · Paul. He used to wish that whenever a chapter of St. Paul's justification was read, another of ' St. James might be read at the fame time.'

XIII. When my honored correspondent has endeavoured to prove by the above-mentioned fcriptures, arguments and quotations, that an impenitent adulterer and murderer, instead of being under God's difpleasure, is " a pleasant child still:" to compleat his work, he proceeds to shew the good that falls into fin do to believers. Never did the pious author of Pietas Oxoniensis employ his pen in a work less conducive to piety!

"God, fays he, often brings about his purpofes "by those very means, which to the human eye " would certainly defeat them. He has always the " fame thing in view, his own glory and the falva-"tion of his elect by Jesus Christ. This Adam was " accomplishing when he put the whole world un-" der the curse." Hail Adam under the fatal tree! Pluck and eat abundantly, for "thou accomplishest the

the i you in o ed a dem fente share to h ferts fling all s the a is no the

> B ing us, ing ss a wha me! who of th ther ever Crif they upoi

Sata

ed o fion Pon whi judg ned finu

upo

ie-

ro-

if

ed

by

en

m-

er's

de-

ive

of

as.

ess

till

ufno

aw

St.

ter

of

ea-

es,

ul-

lif-

his

ito

of

n-

fes

ye

the

va-

vas

ın-

e!

eft

he

the falvation of the elect! Oh the inconsistency of your doctrine! If we insist upon doing the will of God in order to enter his kingdom, we are boldly exclaimed against as proudly sharing the glory of our redemption with Christ. But here Adam is represented as his partner in the work of salvation, and a share of his glory, positively assigned to the fall, i. e. to his disobedience to the divine will. St. Paul asserts that by one man (Adam) came death, and sin the sling of death; and so death with his sting passed upon all men. But you inform us that Adam by his sin accomplished the salvation of the elect." If this is not plucking a jewel from Christ's crown, to adorn the most improper head in the world next to that of Satan, I am very much mistaken.

But if God "brought about HIS purpose" concerning the salvation of the elect by the sall of Adam; tell us, I pray, who brought about the purpose concerning the damnation of the reprobates? Had the Lord always this thing in view also? On the brink of what a dreadful abys hath your doctrine brought me!—Sir, my mind recoils; I sly from the God whose unprovoked wrath rose before the beginning of the world, against millions of his unformed and therefore guiltless creatures! He that tasted death for every man bids me sly, and he points me from Dr. Crisp to God whose mercy is over all his works, till they personally forseit it by obstinately trampling upon his richest grace.

XIV. As if it was not enough to have represented our salvation in part accomplished by the transgrefsion of our first parents: you bring in Herod and
Pontius Pilate, and observe, to the honor of the good
which sin does to the elect, that those unrighteous
judges did whatsoever God's hand and counsel determined before to be done. If you quote this passage to insinuate that God pre-determined their sin, you resect
upon divine holiness, and apologize for the murderH 3 ers

ers of our Lord, as you have for the murderer of Uriah.

I grant, that when God faw in the light of his infinite fore-knowledge, that Pilate and Caiaphas would absolutely chuse injustice and cruelty; he determined that they should have the awful opportunity of exercifing them against his Anointed. As a skilful pilot, without pre-determining and raifing a contrary wind, forefees it will rife, and pre-determines fo to manage the rudder and fails of his ship, as to make it answer a good purpose. So God over-ruled the foreseen wickedness of those men, and made it fubservient to his merciful justice in offering up the true paschal Lamb. But as it would be very absurd to ascribe to the contrary wind the praise due to the pilot's skill: fo it is very unevangelical to ascribe to the fin of Pilate, or of Joseph's brethren, the good which God drew from some of it's extraordinary circumstances.

XV. "The Lord has promifed to make all things " work for good to those that love him-and if all " things, then their very fins and corruptions are " included in the royal promife." A fyren-fong this! which you unhappily try to support by scripture. But (1.) if this is the LOVE of God that we keep his commandments, how will you prove that David loved God, when he left his own wife for that of Uriah? Does not our Lord declare that those who will not forfake buft and, wife, children and all things for his fake, are not worthy of him, either as believers or lovers; And are those worthy of him who break his commandments, and take their neighbour's wives? Again, if St. John speaking of one who does not relieve an indigent brother, asks with indignation, How dwelleth the love of God in HIM! May not I with greater reason say, How dwelt the love of God in DAVID! Who, far from affifting Uriah, murdered his foul by drunkenness, and his body with the fword! And if David did not love God, how can you believe that a promife made rof

his

has

de-

ntv

kil-

on-

nes

s to

e it

the

urd

the

e to

ood

ary

ings

all

are

ong

rip-

kecp

ah?

for-

akc.

ers;

ind-

ndi-

the

fay,

rom less,

not

nade

10

to those that love God, respected him in his state of impenitency! -(2) When que extol free grace, and declare that God's mercy is over ALL his works, you directly answer, that the word ALL must be taken in a limited fense: but when you extol the profitablenels of fin, ALL, in ALL things working for good, must be taken universally, and include fin and corruption, contrary to the context. - (3.) I fay, contrary to the context; for just before the apostle declares, If ye live after the flesh, ye shall die, ye shall evidence the truth of Ezekiel's doctrine, When the righteous turneth away from his righteoujacfs, in his fin that he hath finned shall be die-and at the end of the chapter the things that work for good are enumerated, and they include all tribulations and creatures, but not our own fin, unless you can prove it to be God's creature, and not the devil's production.—(4.) It is no where promised that fin shall do us good. On the contrary, God constantly represents it as the greatest evil in the world, the root of all other temporal and eternal evils: and as he makes it the object of his invariable disapprobation, so, till they repent, he levels his feverest threatnings at sinners without respect of persons. But the author of Pietas Oxonienfis has made a new discovery. Thro' the glass of Dr. Crifp he fees that one of the choicest promises in scripture respects the commission of fin, of thest and incest, adultery and murder. So grosly are threatnings and promifes, punishments and rewards confounded together by this fashionable divinity!

(5) I grant that in some cases the punishment inflicted upon a sinner has been over-ruled for good:
but what is this to the fin infelf? Is it reasonable to
ascribe to fin the good that may spring from the rod
with which sin is punished? Some robbers have perhaps been brought to repentance by the gallows,
and others deterred from committing robbery by the
terror of their punishment; but by what rule in logic
or divinity can we inser from thence either that any

robbers love God, or that all robberies shall work to-

gether for their good.

But "Onefimus robbed Philemon his master; and "flying from justice was brought under Paul's "preaching and converted." Surely Sir, you do not infinuate, that Onefimus's conversion depended upon robbing his master! Or that it would not have been better for him to have served his master faithfully, and stay'd in Asia to hear the gospel with Philemon, than to have rambled to Rome for it in confequence of his crime! The Heathens said, let us eat and drink for to-morrow we die. It will be well if some do not say upon a fairer prospect than theirs, "Let us steal and rob, for to-morrow we shall be converted."

XVII. You add, that "the royal and holy feed was continued by the incest of Judah with Tamar, and the adultery of David with Bathsheba." And do you really think, Sir, God made choice of that line to shew how incest and adultery work together for good! For my part, I rather think that it was because if he had chosen any other line, he would have met with more such blots. You know that God slew David's child conceived in adultery; and if he chose Solomon to succeed David, it was not because the adulteres Bathsheba was his mother, but because he was then the best of David's children: for I may say of God's chusing the son, what Samuel said of his chusing the father, The Lord looketh on the heart, I Sam. xvi. 7.

XVIII. You proceed in your enumeration of the good that fin does to the pleasant children. "How has many a poor soul who has been saithless thro' fear of man, even blessed God for Peter's de- nial!" Surely, Sir, you mistake: none but the fiend who desired to have Peter that he might sift him, could bless God for the apostle's crimes; nor could any one on such an horrid account bless any other God but the god of this world. David said, My

ryes

but

66 .

ho dio

tuc

par

ex

th

..

\*\*

go

ho T

do

Joi

ty te

Sa

be

th

de

61

d

C

11

c

tl

but the author of Pietas Oxoniensis tells us, that "many a poor soul has blessed God" for the most horrid breaches of his law! Weep no more, persidious apostle: thou hast cast the net on the right side of the slip; thy three curses have procured God multitudes of blessings! Surely, Sir, you cannot mean this! "Many a poor soul has blessed God" for granting a pardon to Peter, but never for Peter's denial. It is extremely dangerous thus to consound a crime, with the pardon granted to a penitent criminal.

XIX. Upon the fame principle you add, "How have many others been raised out of the mire, by considering the tenderness shewn to the incestuding ous Corinthian?" I am glad you do not say, "by considering the incest of the Corinthian." The good received by many did not then spring from his horrid crime, but from the tenderness of the apostle. This instance therefore, by your own confession, does not prove that sin does any good to believers.

But as you tell us with what tenderness the apostle restored that man, when he was swallowed up in godly forrow, you will permit me to remind you of the feveriby which he shewed him while he continued impenitent. In the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, faid he, when ye are gathered together, deliver fuch an one unto Satan for the destruction of the flesh, that his spirit may be faved in the day of the Lord. Hence it appears, the apostle thought his case so desperate, that his body must be solemnly delivered to Satan, in order if possible to bring his foul to repentance. Now if the incestuous man's sins "had been for ever and " for ever cancelled;" if he had not forfeited the divine favor, and cut himself off from the general affembly of the first-born by his crime; what power could the apostle, who acted under the influence of the Spirit, have had to cut him off from the visible church as a corrupt member? What right to deliver the body of one of "God's pleasant children" to destruction ?

destruction? Was this finished salvation? For my part, as I do not believe in a two-fold, I had almost said fesuitical will in God, I am persuaded, he would have us consider things as they are; an impenitent adulterer as a profligate heathen, and a penitent believer as "his pleasant child."

V

ft

27

fi

Sa

CI

11

L

th

fi

H

fo

ca

W

fa

ur

gle

al

do

th

ble

XX, You add, (1) a "grievous fall ferves to make believers know their place." No indeed, it ferves only to make them forget their place; witness David, who, far from knowing his place, wickedly took that of Uriah; and Eve, who by falling into the condemnation of the devil, took her Maker's place; in her imagination, and esteemed herself as wife as God. (2) "It drives them nearer to Christ." Surely you mistake, Sir; you mean nearer the devil; for a fall into pride may drive me nearer Lucifer, a fall into adultery and murder may drive me nearer Belial and Moloch; but not nearer Jesus Christ.—(3) "It makes them more dependent on his strength." No such thing. The genuine effect of a fall into fin, is to stupify the conscience and harden the heart, witness the state of obduracy in which God found Adam, and the state of carnal fecurity in which Nathan found David, after their crimes .- (4) " It keeps them more watchful for the future. Just the reverse: it prevents their watching for the future. If David had been made more watchful by falling into adultery, would he have fallen into treachery and murder? If Peter had been made more watchful by his first fall into pe jury would he have fallen three times fuccessively!—(5) " It will cause them to sympathize with others in " the like fituation." By no means. A fall into fin will naturally make us defirous of drawing another into our guilty condition. Witness the Devil and Eve, Eve and Adam, David and Bathsheba. The royal adulterer was fo far from fympathizing with the man who had unkindly taken his neighbour's favourite ewe-lamb, that he directly swore As the Lord

Lord liveth the man that has done this thing shall surely die.

(6.) "It will make them fing louder to the praise 44 of restoring grace throughout all the ages of eter-" nity." I demand proof of this. I greatly question whether Demas, Alexander the Copper-fmith, Hymeneus, Philetus, and many of the fallen believers mentioned in the epistles of our Lord to the churches of Asia, in the epistle to the Hebrews, and in those of St. Peter, St. James, and St. Jude, shall fing restoring grace at all. The apostle far from representing them all as finging LOUDER, gives us to understand that many of them shall be thought worthy of a much sorer punishment than the finners confumed by fire from heaven; and that there remaineth no MORE' facrifice for their fins; (a fure proof that Christ's facrifice availed for them, till they accounted the blood of the covenant an unboly thing) for, adds the apostle, the Lord will judge his people; and notwithstanding all that Dr. Crifp fays to the contrary, there remaineth for apostates a certain fearful looking for of judgment and fiery indignation which shall devour the adversaries. Weeping, wailing, gnashing of teeth, and not "louder await the unprofitable servant. fongs,"

e

it.

.

;

15

?3

-

e

18

n

£

d

n

ir

C

1-

re

1-

n

y

;)

n

0

g

-

a.

g

be d

But suppose some are renewed to repentance and escape out of the snare of the dewil; can you imagine they will be upon the sooting of those, who standing sted-fast and immoveable always abounded in the work of the Lord? Shall then the labour of these be in vain in the Lord? Are not our works to follow us? Shall the unprofitable servant, if restored, receive a crown of glory equal to his, who from the time he listed has always fought the good sight, and kept the faith? The doctrine you would inculcate at once bears hard upon the equity of the divine conduct, and strikes a fatal blow at the root of all diligence and faithfulness, so

strongly recommended in the oracles of God.

You will be fensible of your error if you observe, that all the fine things which you tell us of a fall into

fin, belong not to the fall, but to an happy recovery from it: and my honored correspondent is as much mistaken, when he ascribes to fin the effects of repentance and faith, as it he ascribed to a frost the effect of a thaw, or to sickness the consequences of a

recovery.

And now that we have seen how you have done a pious man's strange work; permit me, Sir, to tell you that thro' the prevalence of human corruption, a word spoken for sin generally goes farther than ten thousand spoken against it. This I know, that is a sall, in an hour of temptation, appears only half so profitable as you represent it, thousands will venture after David into the whirl-pool of wickedness. But alas! facilis descensus averni, &c. it is easier to follow him when he plunges in, than when he struggles out with his eyes wasted, his stess dried up, and his bones broken.

XXI. I gladly do you the justice, honoured, Sir, to observe that you exclaim against sin in the next page; but does not the antidote come too late? You say, "Whatever may be God's secret will, we are "to keep close to the declaration of his own written "word, which binds us to resist sin." But alas! you make a bad matter worse by representing God as having two wills, a secret effectual will that we should sin, and a revealed will, or written word, commanding us to resist sin! If these infinuations are just, I ask, Why should we not regard God's secret, as much as his revealed will? Nay, why should we not regard it more, fince it is the more efficacious, and consequently the stronger will?

You add, "He would be mad who should wil"fully fall down, and break a leg or an arm, be"cause he knew there was a skiltul surgeon at hand
"to set it." But I beg leave to dissent from my honored opponent. For, supposing I had a crooked
leg, appointed to be broken for good by God's secret will intimated to me: a dear friend strongly

argued

argue that I strong ard,

thouse earth, for the in the to tra shall a how warn cation, you, quent

Docto establ Chris der w evil to tije on

Yo

Mr.

begin

if fo

why

kened

finish

I a it will of the you f

argued, not only that the furgeon is at hand, but that he would render my leg straiter, handsomer, and stronger than before: must I not be a fool, or, a cow-

ard, if I hefitate throwing myfelf down?

1.1

ch

·c-

er-

8

ne

ell

n,

en

10

ire

ut

M

ut

nes

ir,

xt

ou

are

en

as!

ıld

id-

I

ch

ard

nt-

il-

nd

10-

red

le-

gly

ied

O Sir, if the deceitfulness of fin is so great, that thousands greedily commit it, when the gallows on earth, and horrible torments in hell, are proposed for their just wages; how will they be able to escape in the hour of temptation, if they are encouraged to transgress the divine law, by affurances, that they shall reap eternal advantages from their sin! Oh! how highly necessary was it, that Mr. W. should warn his affishants against talking of a flate of justification and sanctification, in so unguarded a manner as you, Sir, and the other admirers of Dr. Crisp so frequently do?

You conclude this letter by some quotations from Mr. Wesley, whom you vainly try to press into the Doctor's service, by representing him as saying of established Christians what he speaks of babes in Christ, and of the commission of adultery and murder what he only means of evil desire resisted, and evil tempers restrained: but more of this in a Trea-

tije on Christian Perfection.

Your FIFTH LETTER

begins by a civil reproof, for "fpeaking rather in a "fneering manner of that heart-chearing expression for often used by awakened divines, the finished jalwation of Christ:" an expression which, by the bye, you will not find once in all my letters. But why some divines, whom you look upon as unawakened, do not admire the unscriptural expression of finished salvation, you may see, 2d. Check, page S1.

I am thankful for your fecond reproof, and hope it will make me more careful not to "fpeak as a man of the world." But the third I really cannot thank you for. You are not very fparing of hard names "against Dr. Crisp," says my honoured correspondent; and again, "The hard names, and heavy I "censures

+00

it a

fen

ant

44

raf

If

- bla

th

pe

ma

th:

tha

ia

W

it

fa

2

I

23

12

44

46

46

64

64

th

"censures thrown out against the Doctor, are by far more unjustifiable than what has been delivered against Mr. W." The hardest names I give to your favorite divine are, the Doctor, the good Doctor and the bouss Doctor, whom notwithstanding all his mistakes, I represent 2 Check, page 24) as a good man shouting aloud Salvation to the Lamb of God. Now, Sir, I should be glad to know, by what rule, either of criticism or charity you can prove that these are hard names, more unjustifiable than the names of papist unmasked, heretic, apostate, worse than papists, &c. which have been of late so liberally bestowed upon Mr. W.

I confess that those branches of Dr. Crisp's doctrine which stand in direct opposition to the practical gospel of Christ, I have taken the liberty to call Crispianity; for had I called them Christianity, my confcience and one half of the bible would have flown in my face: and had I called them Calvinism, Williams, Flavel, Allein, Bishop Hopkins, and numbers of sound Calvinists, would have proved me mistaken; for they agree to represent the peculiarities of the Doctor, as loose Antinemian tenets; and if any man can prove them either legal or evangelical, I shall gladly recant those epithets, which I have sometimes given, not the good Doctor, but his unscriptural notions.

In the mean time permit me to observe, that if any one judges of my letters by the 36th page of your book, he will readily say of them what you say of the Rev. Mr. Sellon's works: "I have never "read them, and from the accounts I hear of the "abusive unchristian spirit with which they are "written, I believe I shall never give myself that "trouble. Now Sir, I have read Mr. Sellon's books, and have therefore more right than you, who never read them, to give them a public character. You tell us you "bave HEARD of the inbecillity of the "performance,"

sperformance," &c. and I affure my readers I have found it a masterly mixture of the skill belonging to the fenfible scholar, the good logician, and the found

anti-Crifpian divine.

y far

ered

e to

octor

l his

good

God.

ule,

hele

es of

than

rally

doc-

tical

rif-

con-

nwc

ijm,

um-

mif-

ties

any

hall

mes

ural

t if

of

iay

ver

the

are hat n's

vho

ter.

the

٠٤,"

He is blunt I confess, and sometimes to an excess. "Really, fays he in a private letter, I cannot fet my rafor; there is a roughness about me I cannot get rid of. If honest truth will not excuse me, I must bear the blame of those whom nothing will please but smooth things." But sharp (you would fay abusive) as he is, permit me to tell you that my much admired countryman Calvin was much more fo.

For my part, the' I would no more plead for abife than for adultery and murder, yet like a true Suifle I love blunt banefty; and to give you a proof of it, I shall take the liberty to observe, It is much easier to fay a book is full of bard names and beavy censures, written in an abustive unchristian spirit; and to infinuate it is "dangerous, or not worth reading;" than it is fairly to answer one fingle page of it. And how far a late publication proves the truth of this observation, I leave our candid readers to decide.

Page 38, you " affure me upon honour, that Mr.

W's pieces against election and perseverance, " (Why did you forget reprobation?) have greatly tended to establish your belief in those most com-

" fortable doctrines." Hence you conclude, that

" Mr. W.'s pen has done much fervice to the Cal-" vinistic cause," and add, that " some very ex-

" perienced Christians hope, he will write again

" upon that subject, or publish a new edition of his

" former tracts."

You are too much acquainted with the world, dear Sir, not to know that most Deists declare, they were established

<sup>+</sup> Some of the Rev. Mr. Sellon's works are, Arguments against the doctrine of general redemption confidered .-- A defence of God's fovereignty --- and The Church of England, vindicated from the charge of Calvinifm. All these are well worth the reading of every fenfible and pious man.

New Testament. But would you argue conclusively if you inferred from thence, that the sacred writers bave done infidelity much service? And if some confident infidels expressed their hopes, that our bishops would reprint the bible to propagate Deism; would you not see thro' their empty boast, and pity their deistical flourish? Permit me, honored Sir, to expose by a smile the similar wish of the persons you mention, who, if they are "very experienced Christians," will hardly pass for very modest logicians.

The gentleman of fortune you mention never read all Mr. W's tracts, nor one of Mr. Sellon's on the Crifpian orthodoxy: and I am no more furprized to fee you both diffent from those divines than I should be to find you both mistaken upon the bench, if you passed a decifive sentence, before you had so much as heard one witness out. The clergyman you refer to has probably been as precipitate as the two pious magnitrates; therefore you will permit me to doubt whether he, any more than my honored opponent, "has had courage enough to see for himself."

## CONCLUSION.

Having so long animadverted upon your letters, it is time to consider the present state of the controversy. Mr. W. privately advances among his own friends some propositions, designed to keep them from running into the sashionable errors of Dr. Crisp. These propositions are secretly procured, and publicly exposed thro' the three kingdoms, as dreadfully heretical, and subversive of the Protestant doctrine of Justification by saith. In Mr. W's absence a friend writes in desence of his propositions. The Rev. Mr. Shirley, instead of trying to desend his mistakes by argument, publicly recants his circular letter and his volume of sermons by the lump. Some of the honest souls

fouls, of fash ask wh for fer. Pietas and m tions;

jection Mr. I fession

(2)

ргоро the V the a to th have varie grees tifica ing --at Teft that tion qu tion Ar che

mil

on

up

th

ca

po

tu

fouls, who had been carried away by the stream of fashionable error, begin to look about them, and ask whether narratives and recantations are to pass for scriptures and arguments? The worthy author of Pietas Oxoniensis to quiet them, enters the lists, and makes a stand against the anti-Crispian propositions; but what a stand!

(1) "Man's faithfulness, says he, I have no objection to, in a fober gospel sinse of the word." So Mr. W's first proposition, by my opponent's con-

fession, bears a fober gospel sense.

and

ively

iters

con-

hops

ould

heir

pose ien-

isti-

cad

the

to

uld

ou

as

to

us

bt

ıŧ,

n

9

(2) He attacks the doctrine of sworking for life, by proposing some of the very objections answered in the Vindication, without taking the least notice of the answers, by producing scriptures quite foreign to the question, and keeping out of fight those which have been advanced—by passing over in silence a variety of rational arguments—jumbling all the degrees of spiritual life and death, acceptance and justification mentioned in the facred oracles—confounding all the dispensations of divine grace towards man—and levelling at Mr. W. a witticism which wounds

Jesus Christ himself.

(3) He acknowledges the truth of the doctrine that we must "do something in order to obtain justification;" and after this candid concession, fairly gives up the fundamental Protestant doctrine of Justification by faith,—the very doctrine which Luther called Articulus stantis wel cadentis Ecclifice, and which our church so strongly maintains in her articles and homilies. The Rev. Mr. Shirley throws his sermon on Justification by faith overboard: his second comes up to mend the matter, and does it so unfortunately as to throw the handle after the ax. He renounces the doctrine itself. I maintain, says he, that believing cannot be previous to Justification. As dangerous a proposition as was ever advanced by Dr. Crisp, and retuted by all the sober Calvinists of the last Century.

- (4) He opposes St. Peter's, Mr. Henry's, and Mr. W's doctrine, that "Cornelius was accepted of God in consequence of his fearing God and working sighteoniness," and infinuates that Cornelius was accepted before he seared God and worked righteousness. Upon this scheme, the words of St. Peter, he that seareth God and worketh righteousness is accepted of him, may mean, "he that DARETH God and worketh UNRIGHTEOUSNESS is accepted of him!"
- (5) He represents Mr. W. as a Papist, for having privately observed among his friends, that we have been too much asraid of the word merit, while he allows real Protestants, the Countess of Huntingdon, and the Rev. Mr. Shirley, to publish and sing, We merit heaven by the righteousness subich Christ has supplied. Nay, he sings the same bold words at the Lock-Chapel. The Rev. Mr. Madan's we merit passes for gospel; his hymns are every where recommended as evangelical; but "Popery is about mid-way between Protestantism and Mr. Wesley." What strange prejudice! And yet, surprising! My honored correspondent accuses me of betraying "no small degree of chicanery" upon the article of merit!
- (6) He attempts to "fplit the hair" which the Rev. Mr. Shirley is wife enough not to attempt. But how? Without ceremony he cu's off the middle term between being rewarded according to our works, and as our works deferve; he throws out of the question this proposition that "we are rewarded BECAUSE of our works" tho' it is supported by the plainest scriptures.
- (7) Notwithstanding this unwarrantable liberty, when he confidently soars upon the wings of orthodoxy, to find his broad passage between "East and West;" he directly falls into Mr. W's sentiment, about the rewardableness of works; and, before he is aware, shakes hands with the good Papist Scotus, and the good Protestant Baxter.

(8) The

66 ;

66 }

it?

gen

the

cla

tio pe

ju

ot

CT

h

W

ft

fi

b

C

Mr.

of

king

ac-

ouf-

, be

pted.

ork-

ing

ave

he

on,

We ied.

ck-

tor ded

be-

hat

10-

no !

v.

e-

*ur* 0-

5 29

у,

0-

d

t,

s,

e

(8) The last proposition which he attacks is, that we are continually pleasing or displeasing to God, according to the whole of our inward and outward behaviour. And what does he advance against it? Assertions and distinctions, contradicted by the general tenor of the bible.—Scriptures detached from the context, and set at variance with the clearest declarations of God, and loudest dictates of conscience.—And what is worse than all, dangerous enumerations of the good that falling into adultery, murder, perjury, and incest does to them that love God.

And now, honored Sir, let the Christian world judge, whether you have been able to fix the mark of error upon one of the propositions so loudly decried as beretical; and whether the letters you have honoured me with, do not expose the cause which you have attempted to desend, and demonstrate the absolute necessity of erecting and defending such a seasonable rampart as the minutes, to check the rapid progress of Dr. Crisp's gospel.

Permit me, honoured and dear Sir, to conclude by affuring you, that altho' I have thought myself obliged publicly to shew the mistakes in the five letters which you have publicly directed to me, I gladly do you justice to acknowledge, that your principles have not that effect upon your conduct, which they naturally have upon the conversation of hundreds who are consistent Antinomians. See 2d check,

If I have addressed my three checks to the Rev. Mr. Shirley and yourself, God is my witness that it was not to reslect upon two of the most eminent characters in the circle of my religious acquaintance. Forcible circumstances have over-ruled my inclination. Decipimur specie recti. Thinking to attack error, you have attacked the very truth which providence calls me to defend: and the attack appears to me so much the more dangerous as your laborious zeal and eminent piety are more worthy of public regard,

regard, than the boisterous rant and loose infinuations of twenty practical Antinomians. The tempter is not fo great a novice in Antichristian politics, as to engage only such to plead for doctrinal Antinomia-This would foon spoil the trade. It is his master-piece of wisdom, to get good men to do him that eminent service. He knows that their good lives will make way for their bad principles. Nor does he ever deceive with more decency and fuccess than under the respectable cloak of their genuine piety.

If a wicked man pleads for fin fœnum habet in cornu, he carries the mark on his forehead: we stand upon our guard. But when a good man gives us to understand that there are no lengths God's people may not run, or any depths they may not fall into, without long the character of men after God's own heart that many will praise God for our denial of Christ-that fin and corruption work for good, that a fall into adultery will drive us nearer to Chrift, and make us fing louder to the praise of free grace; when he quotes scripture too in order to support these affertions, calling them the pure gospel, and representing the opposite doctrine as the Pelagian herefy, worfe than Popery itself; he casts the Antinomian net on the right fide of the ship, and is likely to enclose a great multitude of unwary men; especially it some of the best hands in the kingdom drive the frighted shoal into the net and help to drag it to shore.

. This is, honoured Sir, what I apprehend you have done, not defignedly, but thinking to do God fervice: and this is what every good man, who does not look at the gospel thro' Dr. Crisp's glass, must resolutely oppole. Hence the steadiness with which I have looked in the face a man of God, whose feet I should be glad to wash at any time, under a lively fense of my great inferiority.

- And now, as if I were admitted to shew you that humble mark of brotherly love, I beg you would not consider the unceremonius plainness of a Suisse STO MOTO WASHINGTON

(mountaineer)

ri:

th

bi

CO

110

1.1

uí

OL

ro

n

fu

C

ef

m

tl

a K

a

P

(mountaineer, ) as the farcastic insolence of an incor-

rigible Arminian.

la-

er

as

a-

us

m

es

ès

ın

n

e

25

le

-

t

-

•

e

1

I bejeech you to make some difference between the suijdom and poison of the serpent. It charity forbids to meddle with the latter, does not Christ recommend the former? Is every mild, well-meant irony, a bitter and cruel farcasin? Should we directly infinuate that it is the fign of " a bad spirit," the mark of murder in the heart; and that he who uses it to sharpen the truth, \* scatters firebrands, arrows and death? To fay nothing of Elijah and the priests of Baal, did our Lord want either deep serioulnels or ardent love, when coming more than conqueror from his third conflict in Gethsemane, he roused his nodding disciples by this compassionate irony, firep on now, and take your rest! Did not the usefulness of a loud call, a deserved reproof, a seasonable expostulation, and a solemn warning, meet in that well-timed figure of speech? And was it not more effectual than the two awful charges, which he had given them before?

I intreat you to consider, that when the meanest of God's ministers has truth and conscience on his side, without being either abusive or uncharitable, he may say, even to one whom the Lord has exalted to the royal dignity, Thou art the man! God has exalted you not only among the gentlemen of fortune in this kingdom, but what is an infinitely greater blessing, among the converted men who are translated into the kindom of his dear Son. Yet by a mistake, fashionable among religious people, you have unhappily paid more regard to Dr. Crisp than to St. James. And as you have pleaded the dangerous cause of the impenitent monarch, I have addressed you with the honest boldness of the expostulating prophet. I have

faid

<sup>\*</sup> This affertion is the grand argument of an evangelical writer in the gospel-magazine, and of a charitable gentleman (a baptist minister I think) in a printed letter dated Bath. If this method of arguing is Calvinistically evangelical, my readers will easily perceive it is very far from being either legal or scripturally logical.

faid to my honoured opponent, "thou art the man"—with a commendable defign of comforting "mourn"ing backfliders, you have inadvertently given oc"caffon to the enemies of the Lord to blafpheme, and un"feripturally affured believers, that falls even into
"enormous fins shall work for their good, and ac"comp ish God's purposes for his glory and their
"falvation." And as I have supported my expossulations about your dostrinal mistakes with plain scripture, which amounts to a thus says the Lord; I beseech you to take them in as good part, as King
David did the prophet's reproofs about his practical

An

to

en

fu

m

br

te

da

lie

fh

h

a

16

te

miscarriages.

I owe much respect to you, but more to truth, to conscience and God. If in trying to discharge my duty towards them, I have inadvertently betraved any want of respect for you; I humbly ask your pardon; and I can affure you in the face of the whole world, that notwithstanding your strong attachment to the peculiarities of Dr. Crifp, as there is no family in the world to which I am under greater obligation than yours, fo there are few gentlemen for whom I have so peculiar an esteem, as for the rerespectable author of Pietas Oxonienfis. And till we come where no mistake will raise prejudice, and no prejudice will foment opposition to any part of the truth-till we meet where all that fear God and work fighteousness, however jarring together now, will join in an eternal chorus, and with perfect harmony ascribe a common salvation to the Lamb that was slain; I declare in the fear of God and in the name of Jefus, that no opposite views of the same truths, no clashing diverfity of contrary fentiments, no plaufible infinuations of narrow-hearted bigotry, shall hinder me from remaining with the greatest fincerity,

Your most obedient and obliged Servant in the bonds of a practical Gospel, MADELEY, Feb. 3, 1772.

J. F.

POSTSCRIPT.

## POSTSCRIPT.

rn-

oc-

nto

ac-

eir

tu-

p-

e-

ng

cal

to

ny

ed

r-

le

nt

a-

1-

or

24

e

0

C

·k

11

y

,

AS I have cleared my conscience with respect to Antinomianism, a subject which at this time appears to me of the last importance; I should be glad to employ my leifure hours in writing on fubjects more fuitable to my taste and private edification: it is by no means my defign to obtrude my fentiments upon my Calvinian, any more than upon my Arminian brethren. I fincerely wish peace to both upon the terms of mutual forbearance, Veniam petimus que, damus que vicissim. Should therefore a fourth publication call for a fourth check; if I can help it, it shall be short. I shall just thank my antagonist for his deferved reproofs, or point out his capital mistakes, and quote the pages in the three checks where his objections are already answered. But if his performance is merely Culvinistical, I shall take the liberty of referring him to the Rev. Mr. Sellon's "imbecil performance" which, I apprehend, every unprejudiced person, who has courage to see and read tor himself, will find firong enough to refute the frongest arguments of Elisha Coles, and the Synod of Dort.

Before I lay by my pen, I beg leave to address a moment the true believers who espouse Calvin's sentiments. Think not, honored brethren, that I have no eyes to see the eminent services which many of you render to the church of Christ; no heart to bless God for the Christian graces which shine in your exemplary conduct; no pen to testify, that by letting your light shine before men, you adorn the gospel of God our Saviour, as many of your predecessors have done before you. I am not only persuaded that your opinions are consistent with a genuine conversion, but, I take heaven to witness, how much I prefer a Calvinist who loves God, to a Remonstrant who does not. Yes, although I value Christ infinitely above

above Calvin, and St. James above that good, well-meaning man Dr. Crifp, I had a thousand times rather be doctrinally mistaken with the latter than practically deluded with those who speak well of St. James's perfect law of liberty, and yet remain like warm Laodiceans in heart, and perhaps gross Antinomians in conduct.

This I observe to do your picty justice, and prevent the men of this world, into whose hands these sheets may tall, from falfely accusing your good converfation in Christ; and contounding you with practical Antinomians, fome of whose dangerous notions you anadvertently countenance. If I have therefore taken the liberty of exposing your favorite mistakes, do me the justice to believe that it was not to pour contempt upon your respectable persons; but to set your peculiarities in fuch a light, as might either engage you to renounce them, or check the forwardness with which fome have lately recommended them as the only doctrines of grace, and the pure gospel of Jesus Christ; unkindly representing their remonstrant brethren as enemies to free grace, and abettors of a dreadful herefy.

If you think that I have exceeded in my checks the bounds which brotherly love prescribes to a controversial writer; permit me to remind you and myself, that we are parties, and therefore peculiarly liable to think the worst of each others intentions and performances. By our respective publications we have appealed to the serious world; let us not then take the matter out of their hands: and while we leave to our merciful God the judging of our spirits, let us leave our ferious readers to judge of our arguments, and pass sentence upon the manner in

which they are proposed.

And you my remonstrant brethren, who attentively look at our controversial engagement; while a Geneva-Anticalvinist solicits an interest in your prayers for meekness of wisdom, permit him to offer you some

pro we bear oblipor hea car adrivil The but par cor and the in ner

fom

upo

war

folded free shade the week tem on co

we

wi

ha

ha

fome feafonable advices, which he wants to inculcate

upon his own mind alfo.

(1.) More than ever let us confirm our love towards our calvinist brethren. If our arguments gall them, let us not envenom the fore by maliciously triumphing over them. Nothing is more likely to provoke their displeasure, and drive them from what we believe to be the truth. If we, that immediately bear the burthen and heat of this controversial day, are obliged to cut; help us to act the part of friendly opponents, by directly pouring into the wound the healing baliam of brotherly love; and if you fee us carried beyond the bounds of moderation, instantly admonish us, and check our checks. Your whispers will go farther than the clamors of our opponents. The former, we know, must proceed from truth: but we are apt to suspect that the latter spring from partiality, or a mere stratagem not uncommon in controvertial wars. Witness the clamors of the Jews and those of the Ephesians, when the one saw that their Idol-temple, the other, that great Diana was in danger.

(2.) Do not rejoice in the mistakes of our opponents, but in the detection of error. Defire not that que, but that truth may prevail. Let us not only be willing that our brethren should win the day, if they have truth on their fide; but let us make it matter of folemn, earnest and constant prayer. While we decry confined, Shackled grace, obtruding upon us as free grace; let not bigotry confine our affections, and shackle our hearts. Nothing would be more abfurd than to fall into calvinian narrozoness of spirit, while we oppose Calvin's narrow system. If we admit the temper, we might as well be quite confishent, and at once embrace the doctrine. The best method of recommending God's univerfal love to mankind, is to love all men univerfally. If absolute reprobation has no place in our principles, let it have none in our affections. If we believe that all share in the

divine

divine mercy, let all be interested in our brotherly kindness. Should such practical demonstrations of universal love, second our scriptural arguments for it, by God's blessing bigotry would soon return to Rome, and narrow grace sly back to Geneva.

pe

21

m

814

an

to

te

fo

W

fo

m

al

ac

W

lie

th

01

en

d

11

b

d

h

h

1

u

(3.) Let us strictly observe the rules of decency and kindness, taking care not to treat upon any provocation, any of our opponents in the same manner that they have treated Mr. Welley. The men of the world hint fometimes that he is a papift, and a jefuit: but good, mistaken men have gone much farther in the present controversy. They have published to the world, that they do verily believe his principles are too rotten for even a papist to rest upon - that it may be Supposed, popery is about the midway between protestantifm and bim-That he wades through the quagmires of pelagianism, deals in inconfistencies, manifest contradietions, and frange prevarications-That if a contrast was drawn from his various affertions upon the doctrine of finless perfection, a little piece might extend into a folio volume-and that they are more than over conwinced of his prevaricating disposition. Not satisfied with going to a benedictine monk in Paris for help against his dreadful berefy, they have wittily extracted an argument ad hominem, from the comfortable diff of tea which he drinks with Mrs. Wesley; and, to compleat the demonstration of their respect for that grey-headed, laborious minister of Christ, they have brought him upon the ftage of the controverfy in a dress of their own contriving, and made him declare to the world, that WHENEVER be and fifty-three of his fellow labourers SAY one thing, they MEAN quite ANO-THER. And what has he done to deferve such usage at their hands? Which of them has he treated unjustly or unkindly? Even in the course of this controverfy has he injured any man? May he not fay to this hour, tu pugnas: ego vapulo tantum? Let us avoid this warmth, my brethren; remembering that personal

personal reflections will never pass for convincing arguments with the judicious and humane.

rly

ot

it,

cy

ro-

ner

he

it:

111

to

are

be

an-

of

lie-

alt

ine

a

021-

ied

elp

ict-

difb

to

hat

n a

are

bis

NO-

age

uit-

ro-

to

us

hat

nal

I have endeavoured to follow this advice with regard to Dr. Crifp: nevertheless, lest you should rank him with practical Antinomians. I once more gladly profess my belief that he was a good man; and defire that none of you would condemn all his fermons, much less his character, on account of his unguarded antinomian propositions refuted by Williams and Baxter, some of which I have taken the liberty to produce in the preceding checks. As there are a few things exceptionable in good Bishop Hopkins, fo there are many things admirable in Dr. Crifp's works: And as the glorious truths advanced by the former, should not make you receive his calvinian mistakes as gospel; so the illegal tenets of the latter, should by no means make you reject his evangelical fayings as Antinomianism. Prove therefore all things, and hold fast that which is good, though it should be advanced by the warmest of our opponents; but whatever unadvised step their zeal for what they believe to be the truth makes them take, put YE on (as the ELECT of God, holy and beloved) bowels of mercies, kindness, humbleness of mind, long-suffering, forgiving one another, if any man have a quarrel against any; even as Christ forgave you, so also do ye.

dices of our brethren, not only grant with a good grace, but strongly insist upon the great truths for which they make so noble a stand. Steadily affert with them, that the scraps of morality and formality, by which Pharisees and Deists pretend to merit the divine savor, are only filthy rags in the sight of a holy God; and that no righteousness is current in heaven but the righteousness which is of God by faith. If they have set their heart upon calling it the imputed righteousness of Christ, though the expression is not strictly scriptural, let it pass; but give them to understand, that as divine imputation of righteousness

is a most glorious \* reality, so buman imputation is a most delusive dream: and that of this fort is undoubtedly the calvinian imputation of righteousness to a man, who actually defiles his neighbour's bed, and betrays innocent blood. A dangerous contrivance this! not less subversive of common heathenish morality, than of St. James's pure and unde-

li

3

d

to

0

1

filed religion!

Again, our calvinist brethren excel in setting forth a part of Christ's priestly office; I mean the immaculate purity of his most holy life, and the allatoning, all-meritorious facrifice of his bloody death. Here imitate, and if possible furpass them. Shout a finished atonement louder than they. Behold with raptures of joy, and bid all around you behold with transports of gratitude, the Lamb of God that taketh away the fin of the world. If they call this compleat atonement finished salvation, or the finished work of Chrift, indulge them still; for peace's fake let those expressions pass: nevertheless, at proper times give them to understand, that it is absolutely contrary to reason, seripture and christian experience, to think that all Christ's mediatorial work is finished. Infinuate, you should be very miserable if he had nothing more to do for you and in you. Tell them, as they

Food's imputation of righteousness is always according to with. As all sinful men actually partake of Adam's sinful nature, by the desiling seed of his corruption, before God accounts them guilty together with him: so all righteous men partake of Christ's holy nature by the seed of divine grace, before God accounts them righteous together with Christ. This dictate of reason is confirmed by scripture. Abraham was fully persuaded that what God had promised be was able to perform; and therefore it was imputed to him for righteousness; and it shall be imputed to us, IF WE BELIEVE on him that raised up Jesus from the dead. Rom. iv. 21. &c. From this passage it is evident that faith, which unites to Christ and purifies the heart, is previous to God's imputation of righteousness, although not to Dr. Crisp's imputation, which by a little mistake of only 5 or 6000 years he dates from before the foundation of the world. One is fadly out, either the good Doctor, or the great Apostle.

can bear it, that he works daily as a prophet to enlighten you, as a priest to make intercession for you, as a king to subdue your enemies, as a redeemer to deliver you out of all your troubles, and as a Saviour to help you to work out your own salvation; and hint that in all these respects Christ's work is no more sinished than the working of our own salvation is com-

pleated.

3

0

-

e-

ge

-

y 1.

d

d

b

it

fe

e

0

k

1-

y

٠.

10

ts

of

1-

26

ir

10

1,

The judicious will understand you; as for bigots on all fides, you know, they are proof against scripture and good fense. Nevertheless mild irony sharply pointing a scriptural argument, may vet pass between the joints of their impenetrable armour, and make them feel-either some shame, or some weariness of contention. But this is a dangerous method, which I would recommend to very few. None should dip pen in the wine of irony, till he has dipped it in the oil of love; and even then, he should not use it without constant prayer, and as much caution as a furgeon lances an imposthume. If he goes too deep, he does mischief; if not deep enough, he loses his time; the virulent humour is not discharged, but irritated by the skin-deep operation. And who is sufficient for these things? Gracious God of wisdom and love! if thou callest us to this difficult and thankless office, let all our sufficiency be of thee; and should the operation fucceed, thine and thine alone shall be all the glory.

(5.) And yet, brethren, I show you a more excellent way than that of mild irony sharpening a strong argument. If love is the fulfilling of the law, love after all must be the destruction of antinomianism. We shall do but little good by exposing the destrinal antinomianism of Dr. Crisp's admirers, if our own tempers and conduct are inconsistent with our profession of evangelical legality. When our antagonists cannot shake our arguments, they will upbraid us with our practice. Let us then take care not to bald the truth in unrightcoussess: let our moderation and evangelical

gelical legality appear even to our candid opponents: to shall the righteousness of the law be fulfilled in us that believe the anti-Crispian truth; so shall our faith establish the law of ardent love to God and man; and wherever that law is established, antinomianism is no more. And if, when we truly love our antagonists, they still look upon our opposition to their errors as an abuse of their persons, and call our exposing their mistakes "sneering at the truth; let us wrap our souls in the mantle of that love which is not provoked; remembering the disciple is not above his Master, nor the servant above his Lord.

6. Above all, while we expostulate with our brethren for going to one extreme, let us not go to another. Many in the last century so preached what Christ did for us in the days of his flesh, as to overlook what he does IN us in the days of his spirit. The Quakers faw their error; but while they expofed it, they ran into the opposite. They so extolled Christ living in us, as to fay but little of Christ dying At this time many hearing our falvation is so finished by Christ, that we need not work it out with fear and trembling, are justly shocked; and thinking they cannot fly too far from fo wild a notion, they run headlong into pelagianism, socinianism, or gross insidelity. Let us, my brethren, learn wisdom by their contrary While some run full east, and others full west, keep we under the bright meridian line of evangelical truth, at an equal diffance from their dangerous extremes. By cordial faith let us daily receive the atonement; and making our perpetual boast of Christ and him crucified, let us recommend his ineftimable merits to all convinced finners, chearfully commending our fouls to him in well doing, and growing in his knowledge till we experience that he is all and in all. So shall we adorn the gospel of God our Saviour in all things; nor will our opponents have any occasion to reprove us for pharifaic unbelief, when we reprove them for antinomian faith.

do s, n ir r; t d gsby